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## Introductory chapter

For more than a millennium, people used small lead tablets (defixiones) inscribed with curses to control the world around them. This is a phenomenon that is not attributed to a specific ancient culture or region. In fact, we find them throughout the whole Mediterranean world, from the Athenian Agora to Egypt. Even today, defixiones are used by Wiccans, for instance, as a means to bind and banish negative energies. ${ }^{1}$ Defixiones prove to be insightful sources into the lives of the people from ancient societies, because they provide glimpses of the lived reality of ancient men and women. In this study the aim is to uncover how defixiones can contribute to our understanding of the religious experiences of women in the Roman West, more specifically Roman North Africa and Roman Britain.

## Status Questionis

## The Study of Women in Antiquity

The past decades saw an increase in studies on women in antiquity, and women and gender in ancient religions. These studies examine the presence of women in ancient societies based on various types of sources, such as literary sources, material and epigraphical evidence, and papyrological texts. ${ }^{2}$ One type of evidence that is often overlooked are curse tablets (defixiones, or $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \iota$ in Greek). Only recently, scholars started using these sources for studies on women, gender, and religion - mostly in the context of magic - in antiquity.

In 2014, Pauline Ripat contributed with a chapter on cheating Roman women to the volume Daughters of Hecate. Women \& Magic in the Ancient World. By contextualizing both

[^0]Greek and Latin defixiones from Italy and the western provinces, she argues that the curses that target slave women and freedwomen were highly likely the work of female practitioners. The targeted women were perceived as interlopers by these female cursers. As Ripat concludes, their aim for casting the curses was to protect their relationships from the perceived interlopers. ${ }^{3}$

Another study using defixiones as source material, in combination with amulets and other sources, to understand the magical knowledge of Greek women, is provided by Irene Salvo. In the chapter 'Owners of their own bodies. Women's magical knowledge and reproduction in Greek inscriptions', Salvo seeks to examine the ritual competence of women and their knowledge of their own bodies. With the selected source material, she shows that these ancient Greek women had knowledge of reproductive rituals with which they attempted to solve problems concerning conception, miscarriage, abortion, and childbirth. ${ }^{4}$ As she explains, there are some difficulties with the sources, as they might not be suitable for generalizations about women's bodily knowledge, and therefore might only apply to her case study. Nevertheless, she states that 'each single document and its context may present unique or specific characteristics that allow us to trace the experience of the ritual agents beyond the formulae of magical handbooks. ${ }^{5}$

The studies of Pauline Ripat and Irene Salvo show what types of research can be conducted when using defixiones as the primary source material. Their focus on the lived reality of women, and the information that can be extrapolated from defixiones, provide more nuanced views of the daily life of different societal groups in antiquity. One of the most prominent scholars studying women in antiquity, especially the Roman West, is Emily Hemelrijk. In her studies we get a glimpse of aspects of the lives of some women, most of whom belonged to the upper strata of ancient societies. ${ }^{6}$ Hemelrijk primarily focusses on inscriptions, which form a

[^1]useful source since women of the sub-elite appear little in the works of ancient writers, especially outside of Rome. ${ }^{7}$

The inscriptions, studied by Hemelrijk, are found on civic monuments, usually statues with accompanying inscriptions. However, these sources have some disadvantages, for they for instance mostly represent a select group of women and their lives. These women, some of which had been slaves or were daughters of freedmen and freedwomen, belonged to the upper strata of ancient societies. The statues were granted by the city council to men and women who invested in the city, and people who received this honour often suggested to pay for the statues themselves. It is evident that these people, men and women, had the means to invest in these costly undertakings. Defixiones, on the other hand, were accessible to a wider audience. The material was less expensive and more easily available. They provide glimpses of daily life, struggles and worries, feelings of hurt, jealousy, and longing.

Emily Hemelrijk has shown that inscriptions prove to be useful and insightful sources when studying the lives of ancient women, as they add valuable information to the snippets of the lives of women that we find in the works of ancient writers, although they still only cover a selected group of women. Shifting the focus from the more commonly researched source material to the often overlooked defixiones will contribute to closing the scholarly gap between the social hierarchies and add to a more inclusive understanding of ancient societies and the people who were a part of them. They offer insights into the experiences of the ritual agents men and women - and their knowledge, as the studies of Pauline Ripat and Irene Salvo have shown.

## A Division between Religious and Magical Practices

In the studies on women and religion, the focus often lies on magical practices. Defixiones, too, conventionally are categorized as magical objects, as opposed to religious or sacred objects. In the modern scholarly debate, this often results in both negative connotations and stereotypes. A reason for this can be tracked back to the ancient sources. There, magic was seen as an oddity; it was practiced at night, secretly, trying to selfishly gain something. For Pliny the Elder,

[^2]magical practices were fraudulent. ${ }^{8}$ In Apuleius' Metamorphoses too, we can read that magical practices were carried out in the dark, preferably with no intruders. ${ }^{9}$

The ancient sources left their mark on the modern studies when research on the topic began, but the bias of scholars played a part in the process too. In 1871, Primitive Cultures by anthropologist Sir Edward Burnett Tylor was published. His study was followed up by anthropologist Sir James George Frazer, whose The Golden Bough was published in 1890. Both Tylor and Frazer argued that magical practices were only carried out by those who belonged to the lower strata of ancient societies. According to them, magic is the most primitive form of belief. They state that religion is a submission to the supernatural - i.e., God -, and magic is the manipulation of natural laws. ${ }^{10}$ Thus, they explicitly emphasize an opposition between religion and magic.

The research of Tylor and Frazer became the fundament on which all studies concerning magic built upon, although there were scholars who were critical of their ideas. ${ }^{11}$ Nevertheless, conceptualizing between religion and magic as two opposites persisted. There is a small number of studies in which positive aspects are ascribed to the practices that are categorized as magical. Meritxell Ferrer Martin and Kathryn Lafrenz Samuels emphasize the healing and protecting abilities of the so-called magical objects, like amulets and gemstones. ${ }^{12}$ Emily Hemelrijk also touches upon the magical powers of statues and busts of the emperor and empress, in the context of the imperial cult. ${ }^{13}$ Even though modern scholars try to apply more nuanced views to

[^3]previous studies, they keep maintaining the binary division and the accompanying - negative stereotypes.

In ancient societies, the gods were a given. Omina, processions, prophecies, and so forth were a part of mythical stories and of daily life. Ancient authors themselves contrasted religious and magical activities. ${ }^{14}$ Nevertheless, it was not always as black and white as is pictured in the modern scholarly debate from the nineteenth century onwards. Is it even possible to draw a clear line between religion and magic? Why would a Christian cross be classified as a religious symbol and an ancient amulet - which held similar qualities - as a magical object? Why was the making of defixiones defined a magical practice, and not as a form of pre-modern self-help through religious practices?

These are complicated questions, and it goes beyond the scope of the underlying thesis to answer all of them. However, a tentative answer might be found in the dominant discourse on Christianity in the nineteenth century. As discussed, Tylor and Frazer classified magic as the most primitive form of belief. The primitive character that magical practices had achieved fit into the existing hierarchy in what was perceived as 'proper' religion and what was not. A similar reasoning can be given for why women are almost always associated with 'magical' practices. The negative stereotyping operated as a warning to women of nineteenth-century societies. ${ }^{15}$

As scholars we should be aware of who is defining what. Perhaps there is no evident division between religious and magical practices. Perhaps they were so intertwined that it makes more sense to speak of ritual activities; ${ }^{16}$ as attributes were used, deities were involved, and formulae were followed. Men and women were taking part - actively and passively - in these ritual activities, and these men and women belonged to all layers of society. Therefore, this study will focus on the experiences of ritual activities, like the making and casting of defixiones.

[^4]
## Sources and Methodology

## Method: Lived Ancient Religion

In 2020 Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli published their volume Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World. Approaching Religious Transformations from Archaeology, History and Classics. ${ }^{17}$ This volume expands on the study of 'lived religion' by applying it to the ancient world and therefore the already lived experience. ${ }^{18}$

The concept 'lived religion' finds its roots in theological studies and scholars working with the concept focus on the religiosity of individuals and groups. ${ }^{19}$ These studies demand some form of direct access to the living of the religion, as is explained by Gasparini. This is not possible when one is studying the ancient world. ${ }^{20}$ Therefore, they moved beyond the methodology of 'lived religion' and as a result, laid their focus on four key notions which are appropriation, agency, situational meaning, and mediality. ${ }^{21}$

Irene Salvo also contributed to this volume with a study on the neurobehavioral traits of ritual and spatiality in the Roman Empire. She examines the spatial features in which cursing rites took place, and how the environment shaped the emotional and bodily experiences of the ritual participant. ${ }^{22}$ Various elements were part of cursing rituals, like the burning of frankincense, the melting of lead, and additional visual and aural stimuli of the ritual space. These elements initiated a transformation of the state of mind of the ritual participant, enhancing

[^5]their ritual experience. ${ }^{23}$ In this study, Salvo shows how the methodology of 'lived ancient religion' can be applied to different case studies, specifically when using defixiones as sources.

As is emphasized in the volume, 'lived ancient religion' underlines the social context of religious action. ${ }^{24}$ Furthermore, Gasparini stresses that "the paradigm of 'lived ancient religion' provides the stimulus to integrate 'the' evidence on a new basis, invoke new types of evidence, challenge existing classifications of material, and focus on neglected types of religious action. ${ }^{י 25}$ A study of defixiones fits perfectly within this research. They are an often-neglected type of source material that can offer new insights, which contribute to a better understanding of daily life and ritual practices in ancient societies, especially when studying the lives of women.

As stated, there were the four key notions in the volume by Gasparini. Two of them i.e., agency and appropriation - will recur frequently in the following chapters, thus tying the case studies together. As Gasparini explains, the notion of agency was used to stress "the priority of personal engagement, knowledge, and skill in providing services of all kinds [...].,"26 It denotes the ability of an individual to take action and has the capacity to choose for themselves what actions to take. The notion of appropriation, so Gasparini continues, "denotes the situational adaptation and deployment of existing practices and techniques, institutions, norms, and media in order to suit the contingent needs and aims of the individual or group. ${ }^{, 27}$ In other words, the participant of ritual practices has the capacity to appropriate different strategies for expressing and communicating personal needs and wishes, and therefore has agency.

## The Database

For this thesis a database was put together. Two catalogues formed the basis: for Roman North Africa the Defixionum Tabellae by Auguste Marie Henri Audollent (1904), ${ }^{28}$ and for Roman

[^6]Britain the Romano-British Curse Tablets by Colleen M. Bradley (2011). ${ }^{29}$ From both catalogues the defixiones written in Latin were selected, creating a database that included 265 defixiones from various locations in the Mediterranean world. In the database the inscribed texts of the defixiones, as well as translations, ${ }^{30}$ were included. An important feature on some of the defixiones were the legible names on the tablets because they provide information about the individual. The names were divided into two categories: names that belonged to humans, and names that belonged to deities, daemons, and other divine forces. Further included are the location in which they were found, and if possible, a dating. Based on these assessments, the decision was made for two case studies: an analysis of the defixiones from Roman North Africa and Roman Britain. The corpus will be discussed in more detail in the following chapters.

## The Sources: Defixiones

As stated before, defixiones were used throughout the Mediterranean for more than a thousand years and were a means for the practitioners to control the world around them with the help of the divine. There were manuals that provided formulae for these tablets; however, there was room for personalization. The oldest defixiones were quite simple, as has been explained by George Luck: "X, bind Y, whose mother is Z." In this formula, the X is either a deity or a daemon, who is ordered to bind Y , the victim of the curse. ${ }^{31}$

The formulaic nature of defixiones helps us to identify the author of the curse in some occasions, and the victim of the curse in many. Meritxell Ferrer Martin and Kathryn Lafrenz Samuels emphasize the importance of the formulaic nature of votive offering: "From the inscription formula we know that identifying oneself before the gods was important and a necessary part of the ritual practice, furthermore that identifying oneself meant identifying one's relationship to others." ${ }^{32}$ The same reasoning would apply to the study of defixiones.

In later times, the texts on the tablets became more elaborated and personalized, although the basic formula stayed. Additionally, as Colleen Bradley states, the uniformity reveals that the users, who belonged to various cultures, considered the same set of elements to

[^7]be crucial in communicating to the divine realm. ${ }^{33}$ On these tablets palindromes, signa magica and voces mysticae, charaktêres etc. could be inscribed. These textual elements helped the initiator of the curse to transmit their needs to the divine forces. The defixiones were often nailed down, folded, or rolled, sometimes accompanied by dolls or amulets. As the defixiones became more elaborate, the accompanying dolls and amulets started disappearing. It is likely that the inscribed figures on the tablets replaced the physical dolls and amulets. These figures could be depictions of deities or daemons. ${ }^{34}$

There are some difficulties using defixiones as a source. Their often fragmentary nature is one of them. Moreover, the above-mentioned aspects are not always present on all defixiones. However, I do believe that these sources are able to provide valuable insights on the individuals casting the defixiones and their religious experiences. These tablets could have been made by magoi, and men and women from all layers of ancient societies. These defixiones are not just lead tablets with an inscription, they were created and casted in specific rituals. Thus, the tablets were not used as a mere writing surface.

To come back to the studies mentioned at the beginning, defixiones have been used as evidence for the study of women in antiquity, although not often. When they were used, it was most often the Greek tablets that have been studied. One of the reasons is that there are more curse tablets unearthed that are written in Greek in comparison to the Latin ones. ${ }^{35}$ Like Hemelrijk stated in her book Women and Society, the diverse character of Latin inscriptions makes them useful for studying women in ancient societies, as they tell a lot about women's lives from all different stages of life and class.

The same goes for defixiones. They were made and used by men and women from all layers of society, not only by professionals. They were a medium that was easily accessible, and they provide glimpses into daily life and struggles, as the following chapters will make clear. To focus on the religious experience and the appropriation of ritual practices, as has been done in the study of 'lived ancient religion' could, I believe, provide valuable insights into ancient societies and more specifically in the lives of the individual user; thereby better our understanding of the lives of the ancient people from all over society. As has been stated, this study seeks to examine the religious experiences of women in Roman North Africa and Roman

[^8]Britain using defixiones as the primary source material, on which will be elaborated in the following chapters.

## Roman North Africa

## Introduction

In the following chapter the focus will be on Roman North Africa. Firstly, the corpus of defixiones will be discussed. By studying their context and the broader context of Roman North Africa, we will get a better understanding of the sources and how they can be studied. Thereafter, it is important to discuss the scholarly findings about women in Roman North Africa. This will all benefit the understanding of the analysis of the defixiones, with which the chapter concludes.

## The Roman North African corpus

In 1904, when Auguste Audollent composed his Defixionum Tabellae, archaeologists had unearthed at least 55 fragments of uniquely identified defixiones in Roman North Africa at the site of the amphitheatre in Carthage. These were kept in the Musé Lavigerie de Saint-Louis in Carthage, of which the archaeologist Alfred Louis Delattre was the director. ${ }^{36}$ In his study, Audollent recorded 92 defixiones (DT 213 - DT 305), adding newly unearthed tablets, which date from the second century BCE to the third century CE, to the collection of Delattre. The defixiones were found in three different Roman provinces: Provincia Proconsularis, Provincia Byzacena, and Provincia Numidia.

Of these defixiones, 66 are part of the database made for this thesis, ${ }^{37}$ namely those defixiones that have - at least some - Latin writing on them..$^{38}$ Almost two-third of these are

[^9]completely written in Latin ( $n=42$ ), and a little over a third is written in both Greek and Latin ( $n=24$ ). Linguistic aspects, like voces mysticae, charaktêres, and palindromes can be found on the fragments, just as engraved figures. On some of the fragments of the defixiones only a few letters are still legible, while others are still folded or rolled up.

The fragmentary nature of the defixiones comes with some challenges. Many cannot be fully reconstructed, which means that the socio-historical context of some of the tablets cannot be fully ascertained. Also, the defixiones that are in the database labelled as written in Latin, could in theory also have contained Greek or Punic writing in the missing parts of the tablets.

The defixiones that were found in Provincia Proconsularis ( $n=35$ ), were found in several places in and around the city of Carthage. One was found on the Punic necropolis of Douimes (DT 213). There is no transcript of this particular defixio in Audollent, only three translations. ${ }^{39}$ Another defixio was found around the Serapeum in Carthage (DT 216). Then, several of them were found outside the amphitheatre (DT 247-254). Others were found in the cemeteries of Bir-ez-Zitoun and Bir-el-Djebbana (DT 222 and DT 258), and one defixio was found on the coast of the city (DT 262). The remaining defixiones that have been found in Carthage have no specific location ascribed to them.

All the defixiones that were found in Provincia Byzacena ( $n=30$ ), were found on a Roman necropolis in Hadrumetum. This necropolis was located alongside a road that led to Kairouan. The final defixio of Roman North Africa that is part of the database, had been found in Provincia Numidia ( $n=1$ ), in the city of Cirta (DT 300). The context of this defixio is unclear, but it has been combined with an inscribed image of a daemon. ${ }^{40}$

[^10]

Map 1: Roman North Africa with the find spots of the defixiones mentioned in this thesis. Photo credits author.

## Roman North Africa: a context

Before we turn to an in-depth analysis of the Roman North African corpus, it is important to understand the archaeological context in which the defixiones were found, as well as their social and religious context. This will provide a better understanding of the historical circumstances in which the defixiones were made and put to use.

Several classical authors wrote about Carthage's foundation by the Phoenicians and date it to 814 BCE. ${ }^{41}$ The settlement became the most important of the Phoenician colonies, soon after its foundation. It was a prosperous region in which many cultures came together. In the second century BCE, Rome expanded her territories towards the east and south. Initially, in the conquered territories, local administrative structures were kept as long as Rome's hegemony was acknowledged and the requested tributes were paid. This was also the case for North Africa.

For a long time, scholars studying the expansion of the Roman territories assumed Rome's superiority over the conquered territories. Concepts like Romanization were coined and coloured the scholarly debate. As a result, sources were studied and interpreted one-sidedly; thereby emphasizing the superiority of Rome over local and regional cultures. This resulted in a lack of academic interest in those native cultures and identities, as the leading narrative was

[^11]that of Rome as the superior force, influencing local culture and forcing Roman culture upon newly conquered people. However, there has been a paradigm shift in the scholarly debate. During the past decades, more attention has been paid to processes of mutual exchange and interaction between the local cultures and structures and that of Rome. This opened up a way for ancient historians to start looking into regional cultures in their own right. Furthermore, focussing on the acculturation processes will provide a more realistic and inclusive narrative. ${ }^{42}$

This paradigm shift also influenced the research concerning religion in this region. As discussed in the introduction, the modern scholarly debate is dominated by studies on Christianity, and when non-Christian religions are discussed, Christianity is often portrayed as a victor over the other. ${ }^{43}$ Religion in Roman North Africa is as diverse as the peoples who lived there, and local religious objects prove to be a valuable source of information for our understanding of these societies.

This is exemplified by, for instance, the study of Martin and Samuels on the household in Roman North Africa. For this study, they analysed several tophets in Carthage. Tophets are a type of specialized religious sanctuary, which contained the cremated remains of human infants and animal remains. On the tophets are inscriptions, which name the deceased person. These tophets were dedicated to Baal Hamma and Tanit, and they would have functioned as votive markers on commemoration and dedication. ${ }^{44}$ The household in the Phoenician and Punic world comprised the living and the dead, and by analysing the tophets, Martin and Samuels could gain insightful information about the roles that women partook in the domestic context. This means that their participation in rituals could also be identified. ${ }^{45}$ As has been said, many cultures came together in this region which resulted in the acculturation of religious practices as well. These dynamic processes of acculturation in this region are also reflected in the defixiones.

[^12]
## Women in Roman North Africa

Even though logic dictates that it is obvious that women were a part of these ancient societies, it is a challenge to find them in the modern scholarly debate, especially in Roman North Africa. In the previously discussed chapter by Martin and Samuels, they provide insightful information about the domestic context in the Phoenician and Punic world and the roles of those who were part of it. They conclude that: "Carthaginian women partook in more public functions, possibly on account of enjoying more equitable social status vis-à-vis men, relative to other ancient Mediterranean societies." ${ }^{\text {46 }}$

Apuleius' Apologia provides us with some knowledge about the life of one NorthAfrican woman in particular, Aemilia Pudentilla. She was a wealthy woman from Oea, Provincia Tripolitana. Apuleius of Madauros, her second husband and writer of the Metamorphoses and other literary works, portrays her as a sagacious and exceptionally devoted woman (mulier sapiens et egregie pia). ${ }^{47} \mathrm{He}$ falls in love with her, and they marry somewhere in the countryside. ${ }^{48}$ Apuleius writes that she had been previously married and has two sons. She became a widow, and her father-in-law became legally in charge of her sons. Therefore, her father-in-law also became in charge of the inheritance of his late son and pressured Pudentilla to remarry with his second son. ${ }^{49}$ Pudentilla, however, refused. ${ }^{50}$

[^13]In 'Aemilia Pudentilla: or the wealthy widow's choice', Elaine Fantham examines the narrative that Apuleius created in the Apologia. Her aim is to correct and enhance the point of view of Pudentilla in the situation concerning Apuleius' trial. She suggests that, in addition to the description of Apuleius, there might have been three inscriptions that were commissioned by her. ${ }^{51}$ These inscriptions honour the Aemilii, but do not mention her by name. ${ }^{52}$ As explained in the introduction to this thesis, civic monuments like these inscriptions, with accompanying statues, were a vital element of the public sphere in ancient cities. They were commissioned by benefactors, and as Fantham suggests, Pudentilla might have been a benefactor herself. ${ }^{53}$

Furthermore, some women become visible in these civic monuments. Witschel states that: "[...] women certainly played an important part in creating the epigraphical records of cities in Roman North Africa, i.e., in those areas of social communication that were made public and eternalized by engraving certain messages in durable material." ${ }^{54}$ Women were thus an active and visible part of the local societies in Roman North Africa, and they were monumentalized in public places. However, as Witschel argues, their dependency on male relatives was quite explicitly expressed in the cases analysed. ${ }^{55}$

One thing that is remarkable in the cases discussed above, is the presence of elite and sub-elite women and their male relatives. Through archaeological and literary evidence, we learn about some specific elite women in Roman North Africa, like Aemilia Pudentilla. However, in the modern scholarly debate, non-elite women and the private sphere are rarely discussed. One study that does try to fill the gap in this debate is that of Martin and Samuels. With their study on tophets from several Carthaginian households, they try to gain more insight in the female agency within the domestic context, and they focus on the ritual practices that were carried out within this context in the Phoenician and Punic world. They argue that within

[^14]the domestic sphere, ritual practice and religiosity held a strong role in a community's development and that women were responsible for the performance of the rituals. ${ }^{56}$

According to Martin and Samuels, there is a close connection between daily activities and ritual actions. Items within the household that were used daily, were also used in a ritual context in the same locations within the house. To these daily items, objects with cultic value could be added. The objects with cultic value, like amulets and jewels, also held a strong connection with magical powers, and their use stands out among women's daily practice, Martin and Samuels argue. These objects were used for protection of the household against hurt and evil forces, and to promote the wellbeing of household members, both the living and the dead. ${ }^{57}$

Based on their analysis, Martin and Samuels conclude that women held a central role in the Phoenician and Punic societies. Looking at women's ritual practice in the domestic context, it becomes clear that they are positioned as nurturers and protectors of the household. They maintained the society by maintaining the family, as "the family formed the building blocks of political and institutional life. ${ }^{י 58}$ Studying defixiones could also add to our understanding of the domestic sphere, but the focus of this study will shift from the domestic sphere to the public sphere. The defixiones that are found in Roman North Africa, were unearthed at sites that are traditionally considered public places, like the amphitheatre, for instance.

## The Analysis of the Roman North African defixiones

An examination of the North African corpus shows that there were several motives for the commissioning and/or making of defixiones. These motives can be broadly ordered into the following categories: love $(n=6)$, competition $(n=34)$, legal $(n=12)$. Then, there is a group of defixiones of which the motives are unknown $(n=15) .{ }^{59}$ These tablets are too fragmented to be appropriately analysed in this thesis, as they cannot demonstrate how women are either active or passive agents in this ritual practice.

[^15]
## Love

The first category that will be discussed, is the category 'love'. We find two defixiones in Carthage and four in Hadrumetum. On all six defixiones, names are identifiable. These names are both male and female names, as well as names of several deities. In modern scholarly literature, women have prominently been identified as the initiators of love spells. This tradition can be influenced by the works of ancient writers, like Apuleius' Metamorphoses in which women - witches - are the actors and initiate spells. ${ }^{60}$ However, this is not necessarily the case when looking at the love spells that have been found. John J. Winkler identified five categories: men in pursuit of women; men in pursuit of men; women in pursuit of men; women in pursuit of women; and recipes to deliver men or women. He states that most of the love spells fall into the first category. ${ }^{61}$

When examining the defixiones from Roman North Africa within this category, we see that all are men in pursuit of women. The obverse of the defixio DT 230 is as follows:

Kataక̆ıv qui es Aegupto magnus daemon[...] / et aufer illae somnum
 daemon adduc[...] / amantem aestuantem amoris et desiderii mei cau / sa Noхөıри qui cogens daemon coge illam[...] / mecum coitus facere[...] Bıßıpı彑̌ı qui es / fortissimus daemon urgue coge illam venire ad me aman / tem aestuantem amoris et desiderii mei / causa PıкovpıӨ agilissime daemon in Aegupto et agita[...] / a suis parentibus a suo cubili et aerie quicum / que caros habet et coge illam me amare mihi conferre ad meu /m desiderium

Translation: "Kataxin, who is the great daemon of Egypt [...] and take her sleep away unless she comes to me [...] and satisfies my mind. Trabaxian, the almighty daemon, bring [her] over loving and burning with love and desire for me. Nochthirif, who is a forcing daemon, force her [...] to make love to me [...] Bibirixi, who is the most powerful daemon, urge [her], make her come to me loving and burning with love and desire for me. Rikourith, the promptest daemon of Egypt, drive [her] [...] away from her parents, from her

[^16]bed and from whoever fleeting she holds dear and make her love me and join with me as I desire." ${ }^{\text {² }}$

On this defixio, we only find the names of five daemones and no names of the target or author. Gager suggests that DT 230 falls into the category of women pursuing men. However, the pronomina demonstrativa are declined through their feminine forms: for example, in line 2 'take her sleep away' (aufer illae somnum), and in line 7 'make her come to me' (coge illam venire ad me). Therefore, they are referring to a woman. In lines 11-12, the author asks the daemones her to 'join with me as I desire' (mihi conferre as meum desiderium). The pronomina posssessiva is masculine, which means that the author is a man and the target of the defixio is a woman. Therefore, this defixio belongs to the category men in pursuit of women.

Another aspect that stands out is the fact that the names of the daemones are written with Greek letters. The use of the Greek alphabet is a recurrence on the defixiones when the author is referring to daemones, deities or other divine forces. ${ }^{63}$ However, the names of daemones have also been written in Latin letters. An example of this is DT 265, which has been briefly mentioned before:

> Obverse: Alimbeu / Columbeu / Petalimbeu / faciatis Victoriam / quem peperit Sua / vulva amantem fu / rentem pre amore / тео neque somnu / videat donec ad me / veniat puella[r]um d[eli] / cias

> Reverse: Deseces Ballinc / um Lolliorum / de curru actum / ne possit ante me / venire et tu quicum / que es daemon / te oro ut illam cogas / amoris et desiderii / [mei] causa veni / [re ad me]

Translation, obverse: "Alimbeu, Columbeu, Petalimbeu, get Victoria, who was born to $\xlongequal{P}$ [Suavulva] to love, to burn with passion for me, may she not sleep until she comes to me, the sweetest of girls."

Reverse: "Cut down Ballincus, of Lollii, so that he falls down from his chariot, and cannot outride me, and you, whatever daemon you are, I beg you so that she comes to me out of love and desire for me."

[^17]Although it has been suggested that Alimbeu, Columbeu, and Petalimbeu, inscribed on the obverse, are voces mysticae, ${ }^{64}$ they could very well have been the names of different daemones.

On DT 265 we also find names of two targeted persons. Firstly, on the obverse we read the name Victoria, who is born to $\mathcal{P}$ (Victoriam, quem peperit Suavulva), lines 4-6. ${ }^{65}$ The used formula is typical for defixiones. In order to bind the correct target, the author would use quem peperit, following with the name of the target's mother. In this case, the name of the mother could have been unknown. The author therefore used suavulva to emphasize that Victoria was born from 'her womb' and followed the formula's matrilineal descent.

On the reverse we read the name Ballincus. Lollii remains an obscure person, but he could have been the owner of the horses that were used in the races, as is suggested by Audollent, making Ballincus a charioteer. ${ }^{66}$ We do not have the name of the author, or their sex. It is likely that the author is a male figure, and that he and Ballincus were rivals in the races. ${ }^{67}$ The author turned to the daemones to win over the love of Victoria. She might even have been more impressed with the author if he would win the races, thus he asked for some divine support - or intervention - during the races too.

Women are often seen as the initiators of curses in both premodern and modern stereotypes, especially when curses concern the topics of love and desire. Within this category in the Roman North African corpus, we see that this is not necessarily the case. Here, men are the majority of the initiators of the curses, and even some sentiments of jealousy are visible (DT 265). ${ }^{68}$

[^18]
## Competition

DT 265 is a defixio that falls into two categories. Here, love and competition cross paths. However, this is the only defixio from the Roman North Africa corpus analysed in this study that does so. What stands out is that the defixiones within this category are found in various locations. In Carthage we find them in or near the amphitheatre ( $n=6$ ) and in unknown locations $(n=4)$. The defixiones found in Hadrumetum within this category $(n=24)$ are found on the necropolis. It is therefore not necessarily the case that the location in which the defixiones were found is decisive for the reasoning behind the curse.

Many defixiones in this category seem to be related to one or another as they have the same names inscribed on them, as well as similar formulae. The names on the defixiones that can be identified in this category belong to charioteers, horses, and divine forces. For example, DT 273, which reads:

> Obverse: Sarbasmisarab [signa magica] / Delicaltanu Capri / a Volu[cer N]ervicus / Basilius Nilus Scintilla Hilari / nus Poli[d]romus Delicatus Marru / sius Blandus Profugus Pretiosus / Gemmatus Amor Pelops [Z]efurus / Alcastrus Attonitus Roseus / Germanicus Celestinus Cla / rus Salutaris Socrates co / mes haec nomina hominum / et equorum que dedi vobis / cadan precor bos Sarbasmi / sarab [signa magica]

> Reverse: Feiub

Translation, obverse: "Sarbasmisarab [signa magica] Delicatianus, Capria, Volucer, Nervicus, Basilius, Nilus, Scintilla, Hilarinus, Polydromus, Delicatus, Maurusius, Blandus, Profugus, Pretiosus, Gemmatus, Amor, Pelops, Zephyrus, Alcastrus, Attonitus, Roseus, Germanicus, Caelestinus, Clarus, Salutaris, Socrates, companions; may the names of these men and horses which I gave to you, fall, I ask to you. Sarbasmisarab [signa magica]." Reverse: "Feiub"

The obverse of this defixio starts and ends with Sarbasmisarab, a voces mystica, followed by


[^19]according to Audollent, all belong to horses. ${ }^{70}$ In the lines 11-13, the author inscribed 'the names of these men and horses' (haec nomina hominum et equorum). Therefore, it is likely that the 26 names that are inscribed belong to both horses and men, these men probably being their charioteers. The author of the defixio is unknown, but we might assume that they were rivals, as they wish that the names mentioned, fell during the races.

In Roman North Africa we find 34 defixiones within the category 'competition'. Only five of these contain the names of women. Their names solely function as the standard formula, in which the name of the mother of the target is mentioned in order to have the right target of the curse. DT 247 is an example of this:
[...] / [occi] / dite / exter / minate vulnerate Gallicu quen / peperit Prima in ista ora in am / piteatri corona et ar $[\ldots] a[\ldots] a[\ldots]$ / ludes orno $[\ldots]$ pe oc ter [...]a[...]ias / gula[...]neiu / que p[...]ave / rite oc tene il / li manus obliga [...] / [...] obture / non liget ur[su] ursos / [...] / par ill[...]u[...]ra[...]orat / [...] / obliga illi pede[s] m[e] / m[br]a sensus me / [signa magica] dulla / obliga Gallicum quen peperit Prima ut / neque ursu neque tauru singulis plagis oc / cida[t n]eque binis plagis occidt neque ternis / plagis oc[ci]dat tauru ursu per nomen / dei vivi omnipotentis ut perficiatis iam iam / cito cito allidat illu ursus et vulneret illu

Translation: "[...] kill, destroy, hurt Gallicus, whom Prima bore, at that hour during the games in the amphitheatre and [...] May he not bind a bear, bears [...] Bind his feet, limbs, senses, marrow. [...] bind Gallicus, whom Prima bore, so that he kills neither a bear nor a bull, nor does he kill a bear or a bull with a single, nor double, nor triple punch. In the name of the living almighty god, may you carry out, now, now, quickly, quickly. Let the bear strike him and hurt him."

This defixio is very fragmented; the lines $8-10$ and 12 cannot be fully reconstructed. At the top of the defixio, there are various figures inscribed. On the right side, we find a spear (hasta). In

[^20]the middle, there is a standing figure with the head of a snake. According to Audollent, this could represent the god Thypon-Seth. On the left side, we find something that resembles a thunderbolt. ${ }^{71}$

Gallicus is the target of this hostile defixio, and his name is inscribed twice. His name is followed by the formula quem peperit. ${ }^{72}$ We see this same formula in DT 248, DT 249, DT 250, DT 253, DT 263, DT 264 and the above discussed DT 265. ${ }^{73}$ Within this category of competition, one could argue that women play a marginal role. However, the quem peperit formula is used on defixiones to make sure the right target was cursed by the daemones and deities. Matrilineal descent was the only way to ensure this. Therefore, women functioned as anchors of identity.

## Legal

In Roman North Africa, defixiones have been found that can be categorized as legal curses. These defixiones are characterised by the notion that the victims are to be silenced so that they cannot testify. ${ }^{74}$ In this category, names can be identified ( $n=42$ ), of which a quarter belong to women (Table 1). What is striking, is that two women are likely to be identified as the author of a defixio. These will be discussed below.

[^21]| Legal defixio | Number of female names | Number of male names |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 216 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| DT 217 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| DT 218 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| DT 219 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| DT 220 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| DT 221 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| DT 222 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| DT 223 |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| DT 224 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| DT 229 |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| DT 300 |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| DT 303 |  | 32 |
| Total number |  |  |

Table 1: The number of legible and identifiable names inscribed on the defixiones that were categorized as legal.

The first of the defixiones that was initiated by a woman is DT 219:

Obverse: iudico ilu quiq[ue i]mitati / facias ilos muttos adversu Atlosam / ac ligo o(b)ligo lingu / as illoro medias / extremas novissi / mas ne quit possin / t respondere cont / ra facias illos mut / uos muturungallos / mutulos Crispu $m /$ arinis et Marinem / parinis [oblig]o ligua / s iloro isulcas ilo[s ... i]los ar / tu[s] l corn[...]ru[...]tisa ${ }^{75}$

Translation, obverse: "I state his [name?] and [the names?] of those who I inscribed, make those against Atlosa mute; I tie and bind up their tongues in the middle, in the back and front, so that they cannot testify against. Make them mute, completely speechless, dumb, Crispus marinis [?] and Marinus parinis [?] I bind [?] their tongues..."

[^22]On this defixio, three names are legible: Atlosa, Crispus, and Marinus. Urbanová suggests that, of these names, Atlosa could have been the initiator and author of the curse. She is to be protected from Crispus and Marinus. ${ }^{76}$

DT 220 is the second defixio written by a female author:

Obverse: Domina [Te]rra [...]nase[...] / Germanum mutum [...] / dicu accommodes Opsecr[...]a / Isperatae custodes a[...]o[...] / Martialim Cosconio Ianu / arium et Rufum / ut e [...]

Reverse: sunt ibi mutos et m[e]/tu pleno[s]facias qurum / nomina h[ic] abeas [adver]/sus Ops[ec]r[...a] Isperata[e...]/[...] adversus eam lo / qui no pissit inimi $[$ ci] / adversus ea loqui $n[o n]$ / [possint ...]

Translation, obverse: "Lady Earth, make Germanus mute [...] arrange? that Obsecra, of Sperata, guard [...] Martialis, Cosconius, Iuanuarius and Rufus, [just] as [those who]
Reverse: are here, make them mute and filled of fear, [those] whose names you have here [inscribed]. Against? Obsecra, of Sperata[...] may they be unable to speak against her, the enemies to speak against her [...]."

The text of this defixio is very fragmented, which makes it difficult to correctly interpret the meaning behind and reasoning of the curse. It is however clear that Domina Terra ${ }^{77}$ is invoked by Obsecra, who is the daughter or the freedwomen of Sperata, the author of this defixio. The names Germanus, Martialis, Cosconius, Ianuarius and Rufus are also legible on this defixio, but only for Germanus we can be sure he is targeted as he is cursed to be muted. Being muted is a common feature in the defixiones labelled as legal. The defixiones in this category in some way or form all bind the tongues of the victims of the curse so that they cannot testify, which the initiators feared.

Within this category the common feature is the muting of the targeted. The names on the defixiones belong to men and women, free people and slaves, belonging to various layers of the ancient society in Roman North Africa. ${ }^{78}$ We see that not only men are the authors and

[^23]initiators of the curses, but also women, as is illustrated by DT 219 and DT 220. One can argue that there are only two defixiones in this corpus of which women are the initiator and therefore it is not sufficient to make strong arguments. However, these two defixiones do show that women were active and present in the public sphere. These women had agency, not only cursing their targets but also dealing with legal matters. This brings some nuance to the dominant views that women were strictly present in the private sphere.

## Concluding remarks

Roman North Africa is a diverse region and deserves more academic attention. As has been illustrated by the discussed defixiones, they provide some valuable information on the ancient Roman North African societies.

What is most striking within the category that has been first discussed, is that men turn out to be the initiators of the curses. This contradicts the dominant discourse of women being the initiators of curses, and especially love spells; the dominant ancient and modern discourse of women being witches who were pursuing younger men with their devious tricks. What we see here is that women are the targeted group, and men are pursuing them through spells and the help of deities.

It turns out that in the category of competition, men are both the initiators of the curses, as well as the targets. The names that are legible on the defixiones belong to men and to horses. Only a couple of female names can be discerned. These names served a particular purpose; these women were the mothers of the men that were victims, ensuring the right person was targeted. These mothers proved to be a marker of identity, and within the quem peperit-formula of the curse had an instrumental role.

The final category that has been discussed is the category 'legal.' Of the 42 names that are legible, a small quarter belongs to women. Two of these women were responsible for two of the defixiones within this category. This illustrates that women were not only bound to the private sphere but were able to participate in legal matters themselves. They were part of the public life within Roman North Africa, but they were a minority in the corpus.

This chapter has illustrated that the historical truth is more nuanced than the dominant stereotypes prevail. Within the Roman North African corpus, the majority of names belonged to men, both as initiators and targets of the curses. Within the category of competition, many names belong to the horses. They were bound so that they would not have a chance to win the races. The smallest group of names belonged to women, and we find them in places that
contradict the stereotypes: as targets of love spells and participating in legal matters. Just like men, women had agency, and they actively participated in the Roman North African societies.

## Roman Britain

## Introduction

In the following chapter the focus will be on Roman Britain. Ideally, in order to be able to make a comparison between the structure, context, historical agents and discourse of the defixiones of Roman Britain with those of Roman North Africa, the circumstances in which both collections were found would be similar - at least to a certain extent. This is not the case, as will become clear in the following chapter. I will address the consequences of this discrepancy in the conclusion. The analysis of the defixiones in this chapter will follow the same structure of the previous chapter. In this chapter too, the corpus of defixiones will be discussed first. Followed by the broader context of Roman Britain and the scholarly findings about women in Roman Britain. This chapter will close with an analysis of the defixiones and some concluding remarks.

## The corpus of Roman Britain

The Romano British corpus is based on the defixiones that have been collected in Colleen M. Bradley's Romano-British Curse Tablets. The Religious and Spiritual Romanization of Ancient Britain. This book was published in 2011 and contains a collection of 125 defixiones that have been found throughout Britain. Bradley's selection, which does not contain all the defixiones that have been found in Britain, is based on the studies of the British historian, archaeologist, and philosopher Robin George Collingwood and those of the British archaeologist Roger Simon Ouin Tomlin. Both Collinwood and Tomlin have made great contributions to the study of Roman Britain, and the study and translation of the Romano British defixiones. ${ }^{79}$

For the database of this thesis, some alterations have been made. Firstly, in her database, Bradley included several artifacts that are possibly defixiones. These, however, have not been recognized as such by other specialists and therefore they will not be in the database made for this thesis. ${ }^{80}$ One defixio in the collection of Bradley is fully written in Greek and a second defixio is fully written in Celtic, which is why these two tablets will be left out of the database as well. ${ }^{81}$ The Romano British corpus in this thesis then consists of 120 defixiones. These tablets

[^24]have both been found in a folded and unfolded state, some of which were pierced with nails. A few have charaktêres engraved on them, several have the text written in mirror image, and some have the text written from right to left but in correct order. As has been mentioned in the previous chapter, it is difficult to accurately date the making and casting of the defixiones, especially when they are written in capital letters. However, numerous tablets, found in Britain, are roughly dated between the first century BCE and the fourth century CE, based on the Old Roman Cursive, and New Roman Cursive writing on them. ${ }^{82}$

More than half of the defixiones in the database have been found in the waters of the natural spring of Bath $(n=68)$. The city of Bath, which is also known under the Latin name Aquae Sulis (the Waters of Sulis), was a small town in Provincia Britannia. In the second half of the first century CE, near the site of the natural spring, a temple was built. This temple was dedicated to the goddess Sulis-Minerva. The earliest discoveries of this temple were made at the end of the eighteenth century. Since then, more of the temple has been uncovered although much of the temple is still hidden beneath the yard of the abbey and the surrounding streets and buildings. ${ }^{83}$

As is visible on Map 2 below, the defixiones of Roman Britain were found in numerous sites and cities, with Bath taking in more than half of the corpus. The second largest selection was found in Uley ( $n=14$ ), a village just 40 kilometres north of Bath. There, a temple dedicated to Mercury was built at the beginning of the second century CE on West Hill. ${ }^{84}$ Apart from CMB 112, which was found in the masonry building there, all the defixiones were found at the temple. ${ }^{85}$ However, the temple on West Hill was not the place where the defixiones were deposited when they were cast.

In the second half of the twentieth century, this temple was excavated. The archaeologists responsible for this excavation, Ann Woodward and Peter Leach, concluded that the stone temple from the second century was demolished at the end of the fourth century, after which it became a sub-Roman Christian church. They also believe that in the pre-Roman period

[^25]- before the stone temple - the site was probably already perceived as sacral. ${ }^{86}$ Furthermore, they concluded that the tablets were not situated in their primary context but were found in 'deposits of votive material which had been spread over the demolished and robbed remains' of the structure. ${ }^{87}$

In the other locations where the defixiones from the database were found, apart from London ( $n=5$ ) and Ratcliffe-on-Soar ( $n=3$ ), either one or two defixiones per location were found that are part of the database. These defixiones were found in different places, varying from a garden to different beaches, rivers, and shores, from Roman temples to Roman amphitheatres. They were also found in a Roman fort and a bathhouse of another Roman fort, in a Romano British cemetery, in a Roman oven, and in the courtyard of a Roman house. Lastly, some have been found in a well, underneath a house, and in a Roman villa. There are two defixiones of which the exact location of where they were found remains unknown. CMB 124 was found somewhere in the South of Britain and CMB 125 either in Avon or Gloucester. Because the exact location in which they were found is unknown, they are represented in Map 2.


Map 2: Roman Britain with the find spots of the defixiones mentioned in this thesis. Photo credits author.

[^26]
## Roman Britain: a context

In the first century CE, the Roman invasions in Britain began, and the British territory became a Roman province: Provincia Britannia. Yet, there were some serious anti-Roman sentiments and the Britons revolted against them. The occupation of the Romans lasted from 43 CE to 410 CE, the period to which is traditionally referred as Roman Britain.

Before the Romans arrived on British territory, the inhabitants could be distinguished into two broader cultural groups, Iron A and Iron B. In the south and east of Britain, we find the Iron A peoples. They were migrants from Gaul and can be traced back to the seventh century BCE. In the North, the Bronze Age people saw developments in their small communities, as the pressure of migration progressed. These groups merged and are referred to as Iron B. ${ }^{88}$ The Iron Age British people lived in tribes, where family ties were important social units. ${ }^{89}$ Lindsay Allason-Jones describes Iron Age Britain as an introspective country where few people had an interest in the rest of the world. The coastal areas, especially in the east, had links with the Continent because of trade. But further inland there were not many links to the rural areas and the merchants and travellers that entered the British territories in the east. ${ }^{90}$

When the Romans arrived in the first century, the rural British territories gradually evolved into a more cosmopolitan nature. And a generation after the revolts of 61 CE , the intermarriage between Romans and Britons slowly started. This resulted in, as Allason-Jones states: "an extraordinary mixture of races and languages."91 This is supported by bioarchaeological evidence. ${ }^{92}$ This type of research can provide additional knowledge on these complex and diverse ancient societies. In her study, Rebecca Gowland advocated the importance of the study of bones as they are 'the remnants of past social processes relating to different life course stages. ${ }^{93}$

The diversity of cultures and the processes of acculturation that followed the crosscultural contacts are observable in religion too. The temple of Sulis-Minerva is recognized as a clear example of these processes that happened after the arrival of the Romans. The religious beliefs and practices of the Britons and Romans were similar in the sense that, as Bradley states: "They both believed that there were numerous divine forces in nature, and that by gaining their

[^27]favor, the divine elements could help individuals and the community." ${ }^{94}$ Before the arrival of the Romans, British religion was fragmented. There were many local variations, rituals, deities, and superstitions. However, the details are not clear as the ancient Britons were illiterate and we, therefore, can only rely on the descriptions of other ancient people, which in turn can be biased. ${ }^{95}$

It is not known whether the Britons or the Romans built the temple of Sulis-Minerva in Bath. There are scholars who state that the temple was built right after the invasion of the Romans and that it was a result of Romanization. ${ }^{96}$ However, as discussed in the previous chapter, this implies a Roman superiority over the local and regional cultures, which is a too unnuanced perspective. Sulis is a Celtic goddess, and she was connected to the sacred spring in Bath. She syncretized with the Roman goddess Minerva, but Miranda J. Aldhouse-Green argues that Sulis appeared dominant as her name was consistently put first. ${ }^{97}$ This is not entirely the case, as on CMB 18 the name of Minerva is written before Sulis (Minervae de [ae] Suli donavi). Although it is not exactly known what the attributes of Sulis were before the Roman invasion, the defixiones that were found in the sacred spring do suggest that after the amalgamation with Minerva, the goddess was perceived as the goddess of justice. ${ }^{98}$

The amalgamation of Sulis and Minerva illustrates how processes of acculturation occurred in Roman Britain regarding religion. Bradley states that the British religion was not damaged by the Roman invasions. ${ }^{99}$ However, the Romans actively suppressed Druidism. They believed the Druids engaged in magical practices and that they promoted superstitio. ${ }^{100}$ According to Wacher, the annihilation of the Druids had two principal factors. The Romans did not like their involvement in political matters, along with their anti-Roman attitude, in addition to them practicing human sacrifice. ${ }^{101}$ Therefore, at the same time the religious beliefs of the

[^28]Romans and Britons merged, some British religious practices were negatively affected by the Roman invasions.

## Women in Roman Britain

"When she had finished speaking, she employed a species of divination, letting a hare escape
 $\chi \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta) .{ }^{102}$ With these words Cassius Dio describes Boudica, a Celtic queen who revolted against the Romans in 61 CE. In his Roman History, Dio portrays her as a wicked woman with power, wreaking havoc on Roman cities and Romans. ${ }^{103}$ Moreover, in this specific case, he connects her behavioural traits with divination, emphasizing the negative connotations around witchcraft and women practicing it.

In the ancient literary sources, a few women from Britannia appear. In the cases of Boudica and Cartimandua, both women were queens from different tribes, who faced the Romans when they invaded Britannia. They are described in the works of Tacitus and Cassius Dio. Where Boudica is portrayed as a wicked woman by Cassius Dio, Cartimandua's louche lifestyle is the topic of conversation in the works of Tacitus. ${ }^{104}$ However, as has been addressed before, it is not wise to rely solely on these literary sources, as they are most often biased because of the personal or ideological messages ancient authors tried to put forward. Lindsay Allason-Jones argues that, despite the limited information about their lives, Boudica for example, has been the topic of diverse modern media, like art and film. The modern perceptions strongly emphasize that the images created by for example Tacitus and Cassius Dio influence the views of modern writers and artists and the way they perceive Boudica, as well as the influence of contemporary events. ${ }^{105}$

Both Boudica and Cartimandua held respected positions, but to gain more inclusive knowledge about the women in these societies, sources other than literary, are of importance,

[^29]too. The names of women appear on tombstones, graffiti, inscribed on jewellery, and other types of inscriptions. ${ }^{106} \mathrm{Yet}$, of many of these names, there is no trace of written evidence left. ${ }^{107}$ Moreover, as Allason-Jones states: "The epigraphic record is strongly biased towards the upperand middle-class Romanized population, especially those with links to the military, for they came from a group with a tradition of publicly recording their lives, achievements, and activities." ${ }^{108}$

Another source that proved to be valuable to the knowledge about women in Roman Britain, are the Vindolanda tablets. Research by Anthony Birley, and more recently by Elizabeth E. Greene, proves the presence of women in and near military units. Their studies bring forth a more nuanced view of the military, which is traditionally portrayed as a masculine structure. Birley, uncovered several cases of soldiers being accompanied by their wives in long distance relocations. ${ }^{109}$ Furthermore, in 'Female Networks in Military Communities in the Roman West: A View from the Vindolanda Tablets' Greene seeks to examine and illuminate the character of the communities that surrounded the army in the Roman West. She argues that there was a strong sense of social cohesion around the military units, which included women and children. ${ }^{110}$ Greene concludes that the Vindolanda tablets show that these military units were robust communities, and that the traditional view of the army as a masculine structure should be nuanced. Wives and children, as well as siblings and parents-in-law regularly travelled with and supported the Roman army. ${ }^{111}$

Shifting the focus from the ancient literary sources to other types of sources, material and epigraphic, proves to be valuable in creating more nuanced views on these ancient societies. Thereby, these other types of sources also challenge the traditional images of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, as is shown in the research of both Birley and Greene.

[^30]
## The Analysis of the defixiones from Roman Britain

The corpus of Roman Britain can be divided into four categories: vengeance ( $n=6$ ), theft ( $n=$ 72), other $(n=9)$, and unknown $(n=36) .{ }^{112}$ Before analysing the defixiones based on their category, a few remarks will be made on the deities to which the Bath and Uley defixiones have been addressed. In both locations, the defixiones were found on a sacred site specific to one deity each. This did not mean that all the defixiones that were found on that site were solely addressed to that particular deity.

## Bath

It would not come as a surprise if the larger part of the defixiones found in Bath, in the sacred spring of Sulis-Minerva, was dedicated to that goddess. However, this is not the case in the corpus (Table 2). Almost two-third of the defixiones from Bath have no specific deity mentioned on them. This is either because a name of a deity has clearly been omitted, or because the tablet is too fragmented, wherefore it is not possible to identify whether a name has been written on it or not. This means these tablets could have been addressed to a deity when they were made and deposited, although this can't be said with certainty as these tablets are too fragmented to be fully reconstructed. ${ }^{113}$

A little over a fifth of the defixiones are addressed to Sulis, and when adding the tablets that are addressed to Minerva and Sulis-Minerva, they form a little under a third of the corpus from Bath. The remaining tablets are addressed to Mars, an unspecified god as well as an unspecified goddess. Then, there is one last defixio, CMB 4, from Bath that is addressed to 'lady goddess.' It is likely that 'lady goddess' refers to Sulis-Minerva, seeing the context in which it was found and the same goes for the defixio mentioning the unspecified goddess, which is CMB 54. In the cases where the names have been omitted from the tablets, it could be possible that it was evident that the curse was addressed to Sulis-Minerva, as they were deposited in her sacred spring. On the other hand, several defixiones have been found at this site that were addressed to other deities.

[^31]| Deity mentioned on the defixio | Number of times mentioned |
| :---: | :---: |
| god (unspecified) | 1 |
| goddess (unspecified) | 1 |
| lady goddess | 1 |
| Mars | 2 |
| Mercury | 1 |
| Minerva | 1 |
| None | 41 |
| Sulis | 14 |
| Sulis-Minerva | 6 |
| Total | 68 |

Table 2: The identifiable deities on the defixiones from Bath.

## Uley

A difference between the defixiones from Bath and Uley is that, while those from Bath were found in the place where they were originally deposited, those of Uley were not. The tablets were found in deposits of votive material. These deposits were spread over the remains of the temple of Mercury, as has been previously mentioned. Nevertheless, half of the defixiones have been addressed to Mercury (Table 3), implying that even though they were not found in the place they were originally deposited, they were likely cast and deposited nearby the temple of Mercury and their finding place.

There is another noteworthy defixio in the selection from Uley, which is CMB 107. This defixio was initially addressed to the deity Mars-Silvanus, but this name was scratched away and replaced by the name of Mercury. We can only guess why the author of the curse changed the name of the deities. The defixio could, for example, have been standardized or pre-made, but the changing of the name shows that the initiator had agency and was able to make their own decision concerning to which deity the curse was addressed. As the scratched-out name is still legible, both deities are noted in Table 3.

| Deity mentioned on the defixio | Number of times mentioned |
| :--- | :--- |
| god (unspecified) | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Mars-Mercury | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Mars-Silvanus | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Mercury | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| None | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Total | 15 |

Table 3: The identifiable deities on the defixiones from Uley.

No specific comments will be made on the addressed deities regarding the other sites where the defixiones have been found. This has two reasons. First, the number of defixiones per location is too small to draw valid conclusions from. Second, unlike Bath and Uley, the other defixiones have not been found in a temple dedicated to a specific deity. Therefore, it is expected to find a bigger variety of deities that are addressed by the initiators of the curse.

## Vengeance

There are six defixiones that belong to the category 'vengeance', of which two will be discussed in further detail. The first defixio is a curse that is addressed to the goddess Sulis-Minerva. Defixio CMB 40 reads:
deae Sul[i] Minervae / rogo [s]anctissimam / maiestatem tuam u[t] / vindices ab his $[q]$ ui $[$ fra $] /[$ ude $]$ fecerunt ut ei $[$ s per $] /$ mittas nec s $[o]$ mnum / [nec...]

Translation: "To the goddess Sulis Minerva, I ask your most sacred majesty that you take vengeance on those who have done me wrong, that you permit them neither sleep nor [...]"

As there are no names on the tablet, it cannot be traced what the gender of the writer of the curse was, nonetheless they asked Sulis-Minerva to avenge them. This curse is fragmented, the ending is missing and cannot be fully reconstructed, yet it follows a formula that can be read on more defixiones in the corpus of Roman Britain. The initiator asked that the goddess permitted those who have done them wrong no sleep, along with some other unknown things.

In other defixiones, within this category and the others, a similar formula can be read, where the initiator asks that the victim is not permitted sleep, nor health, nor safety, nor had permission to drink and eat. In most cases, this wish is also placed on the victims' family. ${ }^{114}$ It is therefore likely that the initiator of this defixio wished similar things upon the accursed person.

Where CMB 40 is initiated by one person, it seems that the defixio CMB 119 was initiated by at least two people and possibly more:

> Obverse: carta qu[a]e merurio dona / tur ut manecilis qui per[i]erunt / ultionem requirat qui illos / invalaviit ut illi sangu[in]em [e]t sanita / tem tolla $[t]$ qui ipsos manicili $[o] s$ tulit / [u]t quantocicius illi pareat quod / deum mercurium r[o]gamus [...] ura

> Reverse: $q[\ldots]$ os nc $u[\ldots]$ lat

> Translation, obverse: "The sheet of lead which is given to Mercury, that he exact vengeance for the gloves which have been lost, that he take blood and health from the person who has stolen them, that he provide what we ask the god Mercury [...] as quickly as possible for the person who has taken these gloves"
> Reverse: "[...]"

This curse seems to be initiated by more than one person, as they write "we ask" (rogamus). They ask the god Mercury to exact vengeance for the gloves that have been stolen. This is where two categories explicitly overlap. The wishes of the initiators in the corpus of the defixiones from Roman Britain seem to be more hostile in comparison to those of Roman North Africa, at least those analysed for this study. However, DT 247 might be an exception for the Roman North African corpus.

## Theft

In the category 'theft', there are several names clearly legible on the defixiones. In this category, 90 names can be identified, some of which belonged to the initiator of the curse and some of the accursed thieves. Of these names, the majority belong to men (Table 4). These names are

[^32]both male and female, and belong to both slaves and free people. This provides the opportunity to find out what the gender was of the person who initiated the curses, some of which will be discussed below.

Defixio concerning theft Number of female names

Number of male names
CMB 4
CMB 5
CMB 7
CMB 13
CMB 14
CMB 17
CMB 21
CMB 23
CMB 24
CMB 30
CMB 37
CMB 38
CMB 44
CMB 54
CMB 56
CMB 57
CMB 59
CMB 75
CMB 79
CMB 80
CMB 81
CMB 83
CMB 84
CMB 85
CMB 93
CMB 98
CMB 102
CMB 103

| 8 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 |
| 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 1 |  |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 3 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 1 |  |
|  | 1 |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 3 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 3 | 17 |
| 1 | 3 |
|  | 2 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |


| CMB 105 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMB 107 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| CMB 108 |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| CMB 109 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| CMB 115 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Total number | 23 | 67 |

Table 4: The number of legible and identifiable names inscribed on the defixiones that were categorized 'theft'.

An example of women asking the divine forces to help them reclaim their stolen items is CMB 14 , which can be read below:

Obverse: Basilia donat in templum Martis ani / lum argentuem si servus si liber $[t a] / m[e] d i u s$ fuerit vel aliquis de hoc / noverit ut sanguin $[e]$ et liminibus ob

## Primurudem

Reverse: omnibus membris configatur vel et / iam intestinis excomesis [om]nibus habet $[$ at $]$ / si qui anilum involavit vet qui medius / fuerit

Translation, obverse: "Basilia gives to the temple of Mars her silver ring, and asks that so long as someone whether slave or free have been privy to or knows anything about it he may be cursed in his blood and eyes and" Reverse: "every limb, or even have all his intestines eaten away if he has stolen the ring or been privy to it."

A common feature of the curses concerning theft is the initiator of the curse, while also being the victim of theft, offering that which had been stolen to the deity or divine force they ask for help. Apart from the female name Basilia, there is a second name on this defixio. The male name Primurudes is on the obverse side of the tablet, written perpendicularly to the other writing on the right side of the tablet. Both names are not very common in Roman Britain and are, as Mark W.C. Hassall and Tomlin state, unattested in Roman Britain. ${ }^{115}$ There is even a possibility

[^33]that the male name is linked to a gladiatorial establishment, as two leading members of this establishment bore titles that are similar to this name. ${ }^{116}$

It is not certain whether Primurudes was the one who had stolen the silver ring or was somehow involved. On the Romano British defixiones a formula is used when the accursed person is unknown. This formula could either be short, as is the case in CMB 14, "whether slave or free" (si servus si liber), or it could be elaborated on such as in CMB 24, "whether free or slave, whether free woman or slave woman, whether boy or girl" (si liber si servus si liber si serva si puer si puella). There are also defixiones on which this formula is repeated several times. ${ }^{117}$

In the corpus there is only one exception, which is CMB 4:
> seu gen[tilli]s seu C/h[r]istianus quaecumque utrum vir / utrum mulier utrum puer utrum puella / utrim servus utrum liber mihi Annian / o mantutene de bursa mea $S[e] x$ argente $[o] s /$ furaverit tu $d[o]$ mina dea ad ipso perxi $[g] / e$ [eo]s si mihi per [f]raudem aliquam INDEP / REGSTVM dederit nec sic ipsi dona sed ut sangu / inem suum EPVTES qui mihi hoc inrogaverit ${ }^{118}$

Translation: "Whether pagan or Christian, whoever it is, whether man or woman, boy or girl, slave or free has stolen from me, Annianus, if Matutina, [has taken] six argentei from my purse, you, lady goddess, exact them from him. If through some deceit he had give me [...] and do not thus give to him but [...] his blood who has invoked this on me [...]"

On this defixio, instead of si the word utrum is used in the formula. Furthermore, CMB 4 is also the only defixio that addresses the faith of the unknown accursed person. It is explicitly stated at the beginning of the curse "whether pagan or Christian" (seu gentillis seu Christianus). Here, a distinct division is made between someone pagan, someone coming from their own gens, or someone Christian, someone coming from elsewhere.

[^34]Whereas in CMB 14 the victim of theft was a woman, in the case of defixio CMB 85 the victim of theft is a man. The curse reads:
qu[ia]rgentios Sabiniani fura / verunt id est Similis Cupitus Lochita / hos deus siderabit in hoc septiso / nio et peto ut vitam suam per / dant ante dies septem

Translation: "Those who have stolen the silver coins of Sabiniaus, that is Similis, Cupitus, Lochita, a god will strike down in this Septizonium, ${ }^{119}$ and I ask that they lose their life before seven days."

Sabiniaus' coins have been stolen and he is asking an unspecified god to punish the thief. Sabiniaus has three suspects, so it seems: Similis, Cupitus and Lochita; two men and one woman. They all risk losing their life for stealing his coins.

As has been pointed out before, the Romano British curses seem to be more hostile. This could be linked to the difference in categories between the two case studies. What it does give an insight into, are the emotions that hide behind the curses. This concerns emotions that are not unknown to our modern society: anger, rage, distraught. Moreover, emotions frequently prompted people to start making defixiones. This is in line with the findings of Irene Salvo's study on the neurobehavioral traits of ritual practices, where she emphasizes that jealousy is frequently the incites the dedication of defixiones. ${ }^{120}$

The final defixio that will be discussed within the category 'theft' is CMB 84. This defixio functions as an example of crime within the slave quarters. The tablet describes the theft of a cloak, and names a list of nineteen possible thieves:
daeo Maglo [do] euum qui frudum / fecit de padoio [do] elameum qui/furtum [fecit] de padaoium saum / qui sa[q]um Sevandi involva / vit / S[il]vester Ri[g]omandus / S[e]nilis Venustinus / Vorena / Calaminus / Felicianus / Rufaedo / Vendicina / Ingenuinus / Iuventius / Alocus / Cennosus / Germanus / Senedo / Cunovendus / Regalis / Ni[g]ella / S[enic]ianus / [do] antae nonum diem / illum tollat / qui sa[g]um involauit / Servandi

[^35]Translation: "I give to the god Maglus him who did wrong from the slave quarters. I give him who did theft the cloak from the slave quarters, who stole the cloak of Servandus: Silverster, Rigomandus, Senilis, Venustinus, Vorvena, Calaminus, Felicianus, Rufaedo, Vendicina, Ingeniunus, Iuventius, Alocus, Cennosus, Germanus, Sendo, Cunovendus, Regalis, Nigella, Senicianus. I give that the god Maglus before the ninth day take away him who stole the cloak of Servandus."

As can be read, the cloak of Servandus had been stolen, and the god Maglus is asked for help. Servandus, who is likely the writer of the tablet, wishes to take away the life of the person who stole his cloak, with the help of the divine forces of Maglus. The tablet exemplifies the acculturation of Roman Britain well. Maglus is a deity of Celtic origin. ${ }^{121}$ Addressing him on this defixio, a practice that came from the Mediterranean world, and writing in Latin shows the intertwining of local and foreign customs. ${ }^{122}$

The names on the tablet are of importance too. This defixio was found in the courtyard of a Roman home. There is a great chance that the nineteen names on the tablet were the names of slaves that belonged to that household. The names are also a mixture of Celtic and Roman names, and it is possible to distinguish male $(n=16)$ and female $(n=3)$ names. One of the names, the name Senicianus, was deleted by the author of the defixio, although his name is still legible. There is a possibility that the author of the curse had mistakenly written the name of Senicianus on the tablet, or that he might not have been a suspect of the theft after all, which is

[^36]why his name was deleted. This, again, shows that the authors of the tablets had agency in making and casting the defixiones.

As these few examples show, theft is a common reason for the commissioning of defixiones in Roman Britain. Theft had a big effect on the personal life and emotions of the people, which could be a reason why these defixiones are often very hostile, wishing upon the targeted thief no rest, bad health, and death. The initiators of the curses, the victims of theft, often sacrifice to the addressed deities that what has been stolen from them. This could concern jewellery, clothing or fabrics, as well as tools and even draft animals. Another common feature of these defixiones are the formulae. The ill-wishing follows the same patterns on many tablets, as well as the common formula that is used when the thief is unknown. Another remarkable tablet is CMB 4; in its common formula for accursing an unknown target, an explicit contrast is made between "us" and "them" when referring to religion as a marker of distinction.

## Other

The category 'other' forms as a residual category, as there were too few defixiones and too many variations between these curses. ${ }^{123}$ The reasons behind the curses vary from unspecified aggression to a love affair or business transaction, from wishing health and victory to kidnapping or an elopement, from sabotaging an opponent to false accusations, and from harming an animal to committing perjury. Regarding this category, two final defixiones will be elaborated on. These two will be discussed because it is not fully certain what the reasoning behind them is, beginning with CMB 96:

Obverse: Dio M[ercurio] dono ti[bi] / negotium Et / [t]ern[a]e et ipsam / nec sit i[n]vidi $[a]$ me[i] / Timotneo san / gui[n]e suo

Reverse: Dono tibi / Mercurius / aliam neg[o] / tium NAVIN / [...] / NII [...]
/ MIN [...] SANG / SVO

Translation, obverse: "To the god Mercury, I entrust to you my affair with
Eterna and her own self and may Timotneus feel no jealousy of me at the risk

[^37]of his life-blood."
Reverse: "I entrust to you, O Mercury, another transaction [...]"

This defixio is addressed to Mercury and speaks of an affair between Eterna, her own self, Timotneus, and the author of the curse. Bradley suggests that this curse could concern either a love affair or a business transaction, but they could also be neither. She argues that it is difficult to be sure as most of the defixiones concerning business related matters were found in the earth, whereas those concerning love related matters were found in water - at least in the case of Roman Britain. Secondly, the defixiones concerning love related matters usually have some form of the verb 'binding' on them, whereas those concerning business related matters usually have the occupation of the target written on them. ${ }^{124}$ John Wacher also suggests that the relationship between the persons mentioned could be any: "[...] an overt threat to Timotheus not to interfere in the abduction of his wife, girl-friend, daughter or sister." ${ }^{125}$

Another example of a defixio with ambiguous reasoning is CMB 2 :
> qu[i] mihi Vilviam in[v]olavit / sic liquat co[odo] aqua / [...] qui eam [invol]avit / Velvinna Ex[s]upereus / Verianus Serverinus / Agustalis Comitianus / Minianus Catus / Germanill[a] Iovina

Translation: "May he who stolen Vilbia from me become as liquid as water [...] who stolen her, whether Velvinna, Exsupereus, Severinus, Augustalis, Comitianus, Minianus, Catus, Germanilla, Jovina."

It is explicitly stated by the author of the curse that Vilbia is stolen from him, and he has a couple of suspects for the kidnapping. There has been some debate about whether Vilbia was a female name though scholars agree that Vilbia was possibly a Celtic female name. ${ }^{126}$ The ambiguity of this defixio lies within the use of the verb involare. This verb, which means stealing, is commonly used on those defixiones concerned with theft. However, as Keppie et al.

[^38]suggest, involare was used as "snatching someone's lover" in vulgar Latin. ${ }^{127}$ This curse could therefore also concern a kidnapping of someone's concubine. It is not sure who has kidnapped Vilbia, although the author has his suspicions: Velvinna, Exsupereus, Severinus, Augustalis, Comitianus, Manianus, Catus, Germanilla and Jovina. These names, both male $(n=6)$ and female ( $n=3$ ), could be the names of slaves. It therefore seems as if the curse could also concern the theft of a slave woman or girl, or theft by other slaves. The reason for the kidnapping of Vilbia remains ambiguous, but he or she who has done so, should become liquid as water.

## Concluding remarks

In Roman Britain, it is not necessarily the case that all curses were dedicated to the primary deity of a specific site. This has been demonstrated by the defixiones that have been found at Bath, as well as Uley. In Bath, at the sacred spring of Sulis-Minerva, a third of the curses from the database was addressed to the goddess. The other two-third were either addressed to another deity, or it was not known to whom it was addressed. In the case of Uley, half of the defixiones from the corpus were addressed to Mercury, who was the primary deity of the site where the tablets were found. Of course, these numbers can differ from reality because of the fragmented nature of the tablets. As a result, the names of the addressed deities could have been lost over time.

The defixiones that have been found in Roman Britain offer us a unique view into the daily lives of its inhabitants. The majority of the curses fall into the category 'theft', and these give us insight into the feelings and emotions of those who have been victim to it. This category provides us with 90 legible names, of which the minority in this corpus were female. These names belonged to both the victims of theft, who were the initiators of the curses, as well as the thieves. The names, especially those of the initiators, give us insight into who dedicated the curse and was a victim of theft. Them, dedicating these curses is them taking action, taking control of something that might be out of their hands. Dedicating these tablets provided a means to deal with and express their emotions. The curses are most often very hostile towards the thieves by wishing death upon them, as well as cursing their relatives. The initiators of the curses often also ask the addressed deity to bring back their stolen possessions. Theft had - and still has - an impact on the lives of those who are victim to it, as people's possessions were precious.

[^39]The defixiones also show the processes of acculturation well. Some of the legible names were traditionally Roman, while others were traditionally Celtic. Moreover, when looking at the addressed deities, it becomes clear that some syncretized, like Sulis-Minerva. Furthermore, both Celtic gods, like Maglus, and Roman gods, like Mars, are addressed on these tablets. They indicate that the practice of making defixiones is not unique to one culture but can be found throughout the whole of the Mediterranean. The people who made these defixiones were in charge of what was on them; to which deity the curse was addressed, who was cursed and why the targeted people were cursed, and scratching out names, like on the discussed defixio CMB 84 , is evidence hereof.

## Conclusion

The aim of this thesis was to bring nuance to existing views in the historiography regarding the ritual - religious and magical - practices of women, and by extension those of men. Analysing defixiones on the basis of the 'lived ancient religion' methodology opens up a way for ancient historians to focus on the lived experiences of these ancient people and their daily lives. There is room to look at emotions, feelings, and sentiments that occupied the daily lives of these people. Furthermore, defixiones prove to be a useful and versatile type of source material for research on a more local level, as the two case studies have shown.

As has been discussed, in order to be able to make a comparison between the context, historical agents and discourse of defixiones of Roman North Africa and those of Roman Britain, the circumstances in which the collections were found would be - at least to a certain extent - similar. However, this is not the case. Consequently, the defixiones were, for instance, categorized differently, but by focusing on the notions of agency and appropriation the case studies were tied together.

The analysis of the defixiones from Roman North Africa and Roman Britain offers us insight into the daily lives of ancient people. In the Roman North African corpus, we find a couple of defixiones that function as evidence for the presence of women in the public sphere. These women, although a minority in the corpus, were involved in legal matters, and initiated curses to restrain their opponent from speaking. Whereas in the category 'competition', women are not visibly involved with the races and games. The female names on the curses within this category solely function as a marker of the identity of the accursed person.

Striking in the Roman North African corpus as well, were the defixiones within the category 'love'. As discussed in depth, stereotypes concerning women and magical practices are present in both ancient literary sources and the modern scholarly debate. These stereotypes are habitually negative and depict women as wicked and jealous, secretly conducting love spells in order to pursue men with the help of evil forces. Yet, in the case of the defixiones from Roman North Africa, the initiators of the curses were men. Although this is a minor category, it provides nuance to the existing stereotypes that prevail in the modern scholarly debate.

In Roman Britain, the majority of the defixiones were found at the sacred spring of SulisMinerva in Bath, most of which concerned theft. Within the category 'theft', 90 names were legible. The minority of these names belonged to women, and they were either the victim of theft or the suspected thieves. The location in which these tablets were found also place women out of the private sphere, like the category 'legal' in Roman North Africa. These findings add
to the results of the study of Martin and Samuels, and they are in line with the outcomes of the studies by Birley, as well as Greene on the Vindolanda tablets.

Then, there are also several differences between the two case studies. First, the divine forces that are addressed on the curses differ between Roman North Africa and Roman Britain. In Roman North Africa, the presence of daemones on the tablets is stronger; whereas in Roman Britain, deities like Sulis-Minerva and Mercury are addressed more often. This might be an explanation for the different categories in the case studies, as the motives for the commissioning of defixiones could have influenced the chosen divine force, because of the qualities that were attributed to them. These variations also show the local adaptations and variations of this Mediterranean practice. Local deities are addressed on the defixiones, as well as divine forces from other religions. Moreover, the amalgamation of deities is a result of the processes of acculturation that happened in the two regions.

Due to the timeframe in which this thesis was conducted, it was not possible to get a thorough understanding of every single aspect that plays a role in the commissioning of defixiones. This provides a possibility for future research to add to and create a more in-depth understanding of the daily lives of ancient people and their ritual experiences. Using various types of ancient sources combined, such as literary sources and material and epigraphical evidence, aids in a better understanding of what the daily lives might have looked like in these ancient societies.

Furthermore, the corpus of this thesis is a selection of the catalogues that were composed by both Audollent and Bradley. In turn, these catalogues are selections themselves and since these were composed, more defixiones have been unearthed. Consequently, other conclusions can be drawn when this type of research is conducted. Nevertheless, each single defixio and the context in which it was found can present unique or specific characteristics that allow us a glimpse of the daily lives and experiences of the ritual agents, men and women, slaves and free, that go beyond the, often biased, descriptions in ancient literary sources.

## Bibliography

Appian, Roman History.
Apuleius, Apologia.
Apuleius, Metamorphoses.
Cassius Dio, Roman History
Pliny the Elder, Natural History.
Tacitus, Annales .
Tacitus, Histories.
Velleius Paterculus, Roman History.
Virgil, Aeneid.

Ahearne-Kroll, S.P., Paul A. Holloway, and James A. Kelhoffer (eds.), Women and Gender in Ancient Religions (2010).

Aldhouse-Green, M.J., "Gallo-British Deities and their Shrines" in: Malcolm Todd (eds.), $A$ Companion to Roman Britain (2004) 193-219.

Aldhouse-Green, M.J., The Gods of the Celts (1986).
Allason-Jones, L., 'Women in Roman Britain' in: Sharon L. James and Sheila Dillon (eds.), $A$ Companion to Woman in the Ancient World (2015) 467-478.

Allason-Jones, L., Women in Roman Britain (2005).
Ando, C., 'Religion and Violence in Late Roman North Africa' in: Journal of Late Antiquity 6 (2013) 197-202.

Andrade, N., ‘The Jewish Tetragrammaton: Secrecy, Community, and Prestige among GreekWriting Jews of the Early Roman Empire' in: Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Period 46.2 (2015) 198-223.

Audollent, A.M.H., Defixionum Tabellae Quotquot Innotuerunt. Tam in Graecis Orientis quam in totius Occidentis partibus praeter Atticas in Corpore Inscriptionum Atticarum editas (1904).

Beard, M., John North en Simon Price, Religions of Rome 1 (2000).
Bédoyère, G., de la, The Real Lives of Roman Britain (2015).
Bell, C., Ritual. Perspectives and Dimensions (1997).
Birley, A., The People of Roman Britain (1988).
Blagg, T.F.C., 'The Date of the Temple of Sulis Minerva at Bath' in: Britannia 10 (1979) 101107.

Boustan, R., and Joseph E. Sanzo, ‘Christian Magicians, Jewish Magical Idioms, and the Shared Magical Culture of Late Antiquity' in: Harvard Theological Review 110 (2017) 217240.

Bradley, C.M., Romano-British Curse Tablets. The Religious and Spiritual Romanization of Ancient Britain (2011).

Braund, D., 'Observations on Cartimandua' in: Britannia 15 (1984) 1-6.
Cunliffe, B., Roman Bath Discovered (1971).
Elsner, J., and Ian Rutherford (eds.), Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman \& Early Christian Antiquity (2005).

Fantham, E., 'Aemilia Pudentilla: or the wealthy widow's choice' in: Richard Hawley and Barbara Levick (eds.), Women in Antiquity. New assessments (1995) 220-232.
Ferrer Martin, M., and Kathryn Lafrenz Samuels, 'Women's Ritual Practice in the Western Phoenician and Punic World’ in: Stephanie Lynn Budin and Jean Macintosh Turfa (eds.), Women in Antiquity. Real Women across the Ancient World (2016) 533-551.

Frazer, J.G., The Golden Bough (1890).
Freed, J., 'Le Père Alfred-Louis Delattre (1850-1932) et les Fouilles Archéologiques de Carthage' in: Histoire et Missions Chrétiennes (2008) 67-100.

Gager, J.G., Curse Tablets and Binding Spells from the Ancient World (1992).
Gasparini, V., Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli, 'Pursuing lived ancient religion’ in: Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli (eds.), Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World. Approaching Religious Transformations from Archaeology, History and Classics (2020) 1-8.
Gordon, R.L., and Francisco Marco Simón (eds.), Magical Practice in the Latin West Papers from the International Conference held at the University of Zaragoza 30 Sept.-1 Oct. 2005 (2010).

Gowland, R., 'Embodied Identities in Roman Britain' in: Britannia 48 (2017) 177-194.
Graf, F., Magic in the Ancient World (1997).
Greene, E.E., 'Female Networks in Military Communities in the Roman West: A View from the Vindolanda Tablets' in: Emily Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf (eds.), Women and the Roman City in the Latin West (2013) 369-390.
Guey, J., 'L’apologie d'Apulée et les inscriptions romaines de Tripolitanie' in: Revue des études Latines 32 (1954) 115-120.

Harrison, S.J., Apuleius. A Latin Sophist (2014).

Hassall, M.W.C., and R.S.O. Tomlin, 'II. Inscriptions' in: Britannia 14 (1983) 336-356.
Hawley, R., and Barbara Levick (eds.), Women in Antiquity. New Assessments (1995).
Hemelrijk, E.A., Women and Society in the Roman World. A Sourcebook of Inscriptions from the Roman West (2021).
Hemelrijk, E.A., Verborgen Levens, Publieke Figuren. Romeinse Vrouwen buiten Rome (2021).
Hemelrijk, E.A., Hidden Lives, Public Personae: Women and Civic Life in the Roman West (2015).

Hemelrijk, E.A., and Greg Woolf (eds.), Women and the Roman City in the Latin West (2013).
Henig, M., Religion in Roman Britain (1984).
Hunink, V., 'The Enigmatic Lady Pudentilla' in: The American Journal of Philology 119 (1998) 275-291.
Isayev, E., Migration, Mobility and Place in Ancient Italy (2017).
James, S., 'Writing the Legions. The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain' in: Archaeological Journal 159 (2002) 1-58.
Janowitz, N., Magic in the Roman World. Pagans Jews and Christians (2001).
Keppie, L.J.F., A.S. Esmonde Cleary, M.W.C. Hassall, R.S.O. Tomlin, and B.C. Burnham, 'Roman Britain in 1998' in: Britannia 30 (1999) 319-386.
Lee, B.T., Ellen Finkelpearl, and Luca Graverini (eds.) Apuleius and Africa (2014).
Longfellow, B., and Molly Swetnam-Burland (eds.), Women's Lives, Women's Voices. Roman Material Culture and Female Agency in the Bay of Naples (2021).

Luck, G., Arcana Mundi. Magic and the Occult in the Greek and Roman Worlds. A Collection of Ancient Texts (1985).

Mastrocinque, A., ‘Creating One’s Own Religion: Intellectual Choices’ in: Jörg Rüpke (eds.), A Companion to Roman Religion (2007) 378-391.
Meyer, M., and Paul Mirecki, Ancient Magic and Ritual Power (2001).
Nice, H., Wicca. A Modern Guide to Witchcraft \& Magick (2018).
Ogden, D., Magic. Witchcraft, and the Ghosts in the Greek and Roman Worlds. A Sourcebook (2002).

Ooyen, A., van, 'Deconstructing and reassembling the Romanization debate through the lens of postcolonial theory: from global to local and back?' in: Terra Incognita 6 (2015) 205226.

Richmond, I.A., 'Queen Cartimandua' in: The Journal of Roman Studies 44 (1954) 43-52.
Ripat, P., 'Cheating Women: Curse Tablets and Roman Wives" in: Kimberly B. Stratton and

Dayna S. Kalleres (eds.), Daughters of Hecate. Women \& Magic in the Ancient World (2014) 340-364.

Rüpke, J., The Individual in the Religions of the Ancient Mediterranean (2013).
Rüpke, J., "Lived Ancient Religion: Questioning ‘Cults’ and ‘Polis Religion’" in: Mythos (2011) 191-204.

Salvo, I., 'Experiencing curses: neurobehavioral traits of ritual and spatiality in the Roman Empire' in: Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli (eds.), Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World. Approaching Religious Transformations from Archaeology, History and Classics (2020) 157-180.
Salvo, I., 'Owners of their own bodies. Women's magical knowledge and reproduction in Greek inscriptions' in: Matthew Dillon, Esther Eidinow and Lisa Maurizio (eds.), Women's Ritual Competence in the Greco-Roman Mediterranean (2017) 131-148.

Schultz, C.E., Women's Religious Activity in the Roman Republic (2006).
Shaw, B., Sacred Violence: African Christians and Sectarian Hatred in the Age of Augustine (2011).

Staring, N., Huw Twiston Davies, and Lara Weiss (eds.), Perspectives on Lived Religion. Practices - Transmission - Landscape (2019).
Stratton, K.B., Naming the Witch. Magic, Ideology, and Stereotype in the Ancient World (2007).
Streib, H., Lived Religion: Conceptual, Empirical and Practical-Theological Approaches. Essays in Honor of Hans-Günter Heimbrock (2008).

Tomlin, R.S.O., ‘A Fourth-Century "Curse Tablet" from Uley' in: Ralph Haeussler and Anthony King (eds.), Celtic Religions in the Roman Period. Personal, Local, and Global (2017) 71-78.

Tylor, E.B., Primitive Cultures (1871).
Urbanová, D., Latin Curse Tablets of the Roman Empire (2018).
Versluys, M.J., 'Understanding objects in motion. An archaeological dialogue on Romanization' in: Archaeological Dialogues 21 (2014) 1-24.

Wacher, J., Roman Britain (1978).
Winkler, J.J., The Constraints of Desire. The Anthropology of Sex and Gender in Ancient Greece (1990).
Witschel, C., 'The Public Presence of Women in the Cities of Roman North Africa. Two Case Studies: Thamugadi and Cuicul' in: Emily Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf (eds.), Women and the Roman City in the Latin West (2013) 85-106.

Wolf, S.R., Solitary Witch. The Ultimate Book of Shadows for the New Generation (2003).
Woodward, A., and Peter Leach, The Uley Shrines. Excavation of a ritual complex on West Hill, Uley, Gloucestershire: 1977-9 (1993).
Woolf, G., ‘Curse Tablets: The History of a Technology' in: Greece and Rome 69.1 (2022) 120-134.

Woolf, G., 'Female Mobility in the Roman West' in: Emily Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf (eds.), Women and the Roman City in the Latin West (2013) 351-368.

Woolf, G., Becoming Roman: the Origins of Provincial Civilization in Gaul (1998).

## Appendix I

| Defixio | Ancient text | Location | Motive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 215 | Dualerius Epaprhoditus / Valerius Oncarpus <br> Valerius Pleogius / Valeriu[s] Onomacus <br> [Va]lerius Sabinus / Valerius Herma / Valerius <br> Maternus / Valerius Romanus / Valerius Trophimus <br> / Plotius Hermes / Critonius Faustus / Valerius <br> Hermes / Valeria Omphale / Valeria Trophime <br> Valeria Flora / [...]va / [...] | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 216 | Scribonia Philomusus Criso / Alypus Lerastus Philaryrus / Avner Felic Liberais / conseri conservae / amici amicae c[o]nati ad / [...]enes quicumque cona / verit dicerit fecerit / [a]ut facere coluerit / colliberti aut colliberta[e] | Carthage | Legal |
| DT 217 | Obverse: <br> [...] $]$ sui fisci lingua / me cotra me nec dicere nec facere va[l]eant nisi quod ego / voluero al[li]go deligo linguas / abtracati dioti esse hypticrati se[...]uni Callicraphae [...]reti / Primi $m[\ldots]$ trim $[\ldots]$ pho[...]ri[...]li / [...]et pe motri [...]necessi / aput[...]ti victo ua[...]/ patri m[eo] nec adver[sus me...] / irati[...] /[...] <br> Reverse: <br> [...] / alligo d[...] ram illam[...]lingu / a et ta[...]te pe[...] / Pudentis[...]ani[...] / [...] / Alliga delliga[...] / [...]la[...]o[...]nirali[...]a[...] / n Callicraphae[...] | Carthage | Legal |
| DT 218 | [...] / [Sex]tiliani et qula / Pudentis et P[...]ora[...] / Aeuti et $M f[\ldots]$ ai [...] / Silvani et Sextilian / a / et L Caecili Ma[g] / [ni] adligate lingu / [a]s horum quos suprascri / psi ne adversus / nos respondere | Carthage | Legal |


| DT 219 | Margin: <br> $\alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \beta \varepsilon \theta \mid \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \eta \sigma \pi \iota \gamma o \varepsilon[. ..] \psi \iota v \tau \iota \beta o \rho$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iudico ulu quiq[ue i]mitati / facias ilos muttos adversu Atlosam / ac ligo oligo lingu / as illoro medias / extremas novissi / mas ne quit possin / $t$ respondere cont / ra facias illos mut / uos muturungallos / mutulos Crispu $m /$ arinis et Marinem / parinis [oblig]o ligua / s iloro isulcas ilo[s...i]los ar / tu[s] l corn[...] ru[...] tisa | Carthage | Legal |
| DT 220 | Obverse: <br> Domina [Te]rra [...] nase [...] / Germanum mutum [...] / dicu accommodes Opsecr[...]a Isperatae custodes $a[\ldots] o[. .$.$] / Martialim Cosconio$ Ianu / arium et Rufum / ut e[...] <br> Reverse: <br> sunt ibi mutos et $m[e .$.$] / tu pleno[s] facis qurum /$ nomina $h[i c]$ abeas [adver] / sus Ops[ec]r[a] Isperata[e...] / [...]adversus eam lo / qui no pissit inimi[ci] / adversus ea loqui $n[o n] / \operatorname{possint}[\ldots]$ | Carthage | Legal |
| DT 221 | [...]n Se[curus] como[d]o m[...]ra[...] / no potes [contr]a nos drspondere[...] / eca sic no [posit] contra patre meu[m con]tra / [me] advocati qui contr[a] nos non posit secum ve / [l]ut ruga iu[...] nostra Toresilius quiqui / venerint comodo litera a non po / su[...]vi cui nec nemo potes ilos venire comodo / Securus[...]o sic n[o]n posit / [lo]qui comodo Securus non potes loqui / [sic n]on posint [lo]qui arvo[cati] / qui qui que | Carthage | Legal |
| DT 222 | Obverse: <br> Claudia Helenis / Clodia Successi / Clodia Steretia <br> Clodius Fortunatus / Clodius Romanus / Mu[re]ius | Carthage | Legal |


| Crim[...]enius / Servilius Faustus / Valerius <br> Extricatus / quomodi haec nomina a / [...] / [...] <br> [adversu]s me ommute[scant]/[... lo]qui [quomodi] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right margin: <br> veturia di Manes ita uti / vost poniteque sic adversus |  |  |
| Reverse: <br> huic gallo lingua / vivo extorsi et defi / xi sic inimicorum / meorum linguas ad / versus me ommutescant / sic qui [in] me l[o]qui / sucue fuerit ad nilo / [...]odiat res illius / [...]ec praecatio ita / [...]erteta est ad [...] / [...]r vos muta[...] / [...]per ves[tr...] |  |  |
| Obverse: <br> $\operatorname{at}[i] u r[o] q[\ldots] s[\ldots] /[\ldots] /[\ldots] l u t a r u[\ldots] t[\ldots]$ at $[\ldots]$ ura $[\ldots]$ ili ac $g[\ldots] g i n i /[\ldots] e[\ldots] e$ passin contr $[a]$ ho[...] / [...]luera[...]ut $[\ldots]$ / olut bu[...]o[...]sint[...]aput / [...]ndus[...]adcovilartr / us $[\ldots]$ bpinus $[\ldots]$ usta $u /[\ldots$ sing $]$ ula ilo [...]co[...]as / [...]a[...]apingettistus / re / a[...]roa salvi ce [...]ariad[...]rtia / ut acuad vitia per / [c]ussi sunt sic ante / nec valiat adversus [re] / [spond]ere $s[\ldots] q u a e[\ldots] /[\ldots] \sin [\ldots] a[\ldots] n[\ldots] /[\ldots] /$ unssno <br> Reverse: <br> [...]ritat pra[...] / aqo[...]a[...]ede[...]ni[...] [...]allabina $h[\ldots] /$ vestra $d[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ tis[...]delo $p[\ldots] /[\ldots] \operatorname{tas}[\ldots] e[\ldots] q u[\ldots] /[\ldots] /[\ldots] \operatorname{pre}[\ldots]$ $[\ldots] /[\ldots] u[\ldots] q$ ио $u[\ldots] / v[\ldots] s$ de $c l[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ | Carthage | Legal |
| Fragment I: <br> $[. .$.$] curo tequ / [e...re]licta teque / [...]ati / [...]i$ | Carthage | Legal |

```
p[ri]mi ad / [...]opius ad / [...a]dver / [...]cpaves et
/[..tu]rpem ono /[...]op[...]/[...]ct[..]
Fragment II:
[..]to / [...]s[...]um / [...]con[tr]a / [...]atio vin /
[...]ri/[...]pi/[...]Africani/[...]ri/[...]lingu/[...]
Fragment III:
[...]/mutia[...] / oppra[...]/ra in erga [...]/inmica
[...] / Ulpia [...] / Publia [...] / sic lin[gua ...] /
inmic[...]/tuque [...]/sic quic[umque...]/larin[...]
/ mena[...] / lingua [...] / lingua [...] / lingua [...] /
l[i]nguar[um ...]
Fragment IV:
[...] / [...] / [...]par[...] / [...]aber[...] / [...]
Flaci[...]/[...] Rufinum [...]/[...] motac[...] li[...]
/[...]ac du[...]/[...]
Fragment V:
[...]/abis[..]/\operatorname{sar[...]/nu lin[gua ...]/ac prot [...]}]
/[...]
Fragment VI:
[...] / a[...] /que[..] / te[..] / [...] / [...]rita[..] /
[...]
Fragment VII:
[...]/[...]io pa[...]/[...]
Fragment VIII:
[...] / [...]r[..] / [...]st[...] / [...]o[...]e[...]
[..]ib[...]/[...]
```

DT 225

DT 226

DT 227

DT 228


DT 229

DT 230

| Reverse: <br> te rogo qui infernal / es patres tenes, commen / do tibi Iulia Faustilla / ut eam celerius abduca / s infernales partibus / in numeru tu abias |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left of figure: occid[as ...] / facias pe[r ...] / facias demo[n ...] loquto da[...] / [...]ablivoni cit $[o]$ / me teneat a / ta ata / [...] et exta / [ia]m iam / [ci]to cito / facias / ex oc die / ex [a]c ora / iam iam / cito cito / facias <br> Right of figure: <br> [...] / cat[...d] / onec et c / [iam] iam / [...] / [...] / cito <br> Left below figure: <br> [...] m [d]onec et / [...] e eat | Carthage | Legal |
| Obverse: <br> Katášl [q]ui es Aegupto magnus daemon et aufer illae somnum usquedun veniat at me / et animo meo satisfaciat T T $\alpha \beta \alpha \check{\imath} \imath \nu \nu$ omnipotens daemon adduc / amante aestuante amoris et desideri mei cau / sa NoұӨıpı qui cogens daemon coge illa / $m[e c] u n ~ c o i t u s$ facere Bıßıpıら̆qui es / f[ort]issimus daemon urgue [c]oge illam venire ad me aman / te aestuante amoris et desideri mei / causa Pıкovı日 agilissime daemon in Aegupto et agila / a suis parentibus a suo cubile et aerie quicum / que caros habes et coge illa me amare, mihi conferre ad meu / [ m ] desiderium <br> Reverse, horizontal: <br> [...] / [...]vi cirie / au tab cr[...]t[...] / peper[...]it ap[...]rgiebs / deorum ep cam | Carthage | Love |


| DT 232 | Reverse, vertical: <br> [...]/[...]/[...]f[aci]as |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [...G]l[o]riosa R[o]ga[tus] Bor $/[u]$ stenes Ianuarius Vit / [a]lis Romanus Roman / us Adautus Primitiv / os Eforianus Urb[a]n[us] / Catai $\ddagger /$ murqk / $u b[\ldots] a k k[\ldots] u /$ ovo[...]ab[...] | Carthage | Competition |
|  | Upper margin: <br> к $\beta \rho \alpha к к р \alpha к к о о v ~$ <br> Left column: <br> [Fr]enalius / [Ve]nator / [Exs]uperus / Augur / <br> Volens / Sidereus / Atonitus / [B]eronica / Crysiph / us |  |  |
| DT 233 | Right column: <br> Sidereus / Igneus / Turinus / Martius / Rapidus / <br> Arminius / Inpulsator / Castalius / Gelos / Piropus / <br> Euginis / Animtor / Bladus / Sidonius / Omnipot[e]ns <br> / Aquila / Lici[u]s / Amazonius / Imber | Carthage | Competition |
|  | Center: <br>  $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha / \eta \downarrow \varepsilon о v \eta \varepsilon \mu \eta$ / $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \eta l$ / excito [t]e / demon qui ic conver / sans trado tibi os / equos ut deteneas / illos et inplice[ntur] / [n]ec se movere posse[nt] |  |  |
|  | Left margin: <br> $\rho \alpha \kappa \kappa / \rho \alpha \rho \alpha /\left[{ }^{2}\right] \rho \alpha \kappa$ <br> Right margin: <br> $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \theta \alpha \beta \rho \alpha \chi \theta \alpha \chi \theta \alpha \eta$ / rıкбovv $\theta v$ |  |  |


| Bv $\alpha \mu \omega \quad \chi v \chi \beta \alpha \chi[\beta \alpha \chi \nu \chi] / \varepsilon v[\lambda] \alpha \mu \omega \quad \beta \alpha \kappa \alpha \gamma \xi[\chi \nu \chi]$ / $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega \varepsilon v \quad \beta \alpha \theta \eta \tau[о \varphi \omega \theta] / \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \lambda$ $\theta \varepsilon v \chi[\ldots] / \mu \alpha \varepsilon v \lambda \omega$ $\theta \varepsilon \chi[\ldots]$ / $\varepsilon v \lambda \alpha \mu \omega v /$ оvoıоккі $\delta v o о v \omega$ <br>  $\theta[\ldots]$ / $\varphi$ vovvoßоплохдо $\beta \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\beta \alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \beta[\alpha \zeta \alpha \rho \alpha]$ $\delta_{i \alpha}^{\alpha}$ тò $\mu \delta ́ \gamma \alpha$ ővона Iтокоvıєv $\beta \beta[\ldots]$ / $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon[\chi \imath] \sigma \iota \varphi \theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \quad \eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota \kappa[\rho \varepsilon]$ / $\rho \varepsilon \chi[\imath] \sigma \iota \varphi \theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \rho ~ / ~ \varepsilon \chi І \sigma \iota \varphi \theta \eta ~ \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ / $\chi \iota \sigma \iota \varphi \theta \eta ~ \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota \kappa ~ / ~ \imath \sigma \iota \varphi \theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota ~ e s[. ..] / \sigma \iota \varphi \eta \eta \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\eta \varphi \theta \eta \varsigma \quad[n] e c$ se $m[o e] r[e$ possint $] ~ / ~ \iota \varphi \theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\eta \varphi \theta \eta$ in pro[elio ...] / $\varphi \theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \eta \varphi \theta$ sate inpodesate os[...] / $\theta \eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \eta \varphi$ tus omdaite in pro[elio] / $\eta$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \eta$ cursare inpodisate ra / вv $\alpha \mu \omega$ $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ к $\alpha \rho \rho \alpha \eta \varphi \theta \eta \sigma \iota \kappa[\eta \rho \varepsilon]$ / v $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega$ sera $\rho \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho$ lvite карарапрӨПбкŋ $[\rho]$ / $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega$ m elsi $\alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha$ illum карарапюӨ $\sigma \kappa \eta ~ / ~ \alpha \mu \omega ~ c i d i t e ~ d o ~$ $\rho \alpha к \alpha \rho$ оати еіи кароропүө $s[\ldots] /[\mu \omega$...] $s$ inp [...] $\alpha \kappa \alpha$ omios uti кар $\alpha \rho \alpha \eta \varphi \theta[\ldots] /[\omega]$ cursore $\kappa$ [...]nes[...]o[...]b карарапи $\theta[\ldots] /$ tinos [...] vesiti victori кар $\alpha \rho \eta \varphi$ s[...] / кıбию $\eta \eta$ larum [...] victima ut кар $\alpha \rho \eta$ $a[\ldots]$ / $\tau \iota \varphi \theta \eta$ $\operatorname{rim}[\ldots] r[\ldots]$ scrienalum la к $\alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ li[...] / $\sigma \iota \varphi \eta$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \operatorname{caos[\ldots ]~/~} \varphi \theta \eta \operatorname{sep}[\ldots] t i[\ldots]$ dierum in $p r$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \alpha$ casis pa[...] / $\varphi \theta \eta$ [...]te dis[ffran[g]ite [...]asor[...]sia[...] к $\alpha \rho$ meis in $p r[\ldots] / \theta \eta$ [...]onissima nostro $\kappa \alpha$ in crastino die $[\ldots] /[\eta \ldots] n$ sate e[...]as ipsoru $\kappa$ cundesate is eos / [...]nietur $p[\ldots]$ asa $[\ldots]$ et cursoro[m...] / [...]spe[...]a[...] testis su[...]r[...]ie[...]asiget[...] / [...]su tellun[...]veneti et $i[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ nov $[\ldots] i d[\ldots]$ | Carthage | Competition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Obverse: | Carthage | Competition |


| ```\beta\alphaк\beta\rho\alphaкк\alpha\beta\rho\alphaккр\alpha / \rho\lambdaо\iota\alpha0[..]\|0&ккооv /\varepsilon0\varepsilon / \betav\beta / \alpha\lambda\rho\alpha\beta / \rho\alphal\sigma\iota\rho\varepsilon / \lambda[...]\alphal\varsigma / \rho\alpha\beta[..] ] / v[...]```  ```/ \eta\imath0ov\eta\varepsilon\mu\nu / \varepsilon\sigma\iota\alpha\beta\alpha0 / \sigma\alpha\tau\varepsilon\sigma\varepsilon\tau\varepsilon\tau / \rhov\rho\zeta\varepsilon\iotavv\lambdav\varepsilon[...] / [...]\alphav\varepsilon[...]v[...]``` <br> Obverse, left margin: <br> $\theta \alpha \theta \chi \alpha \kappa \beta \rho \alpha \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \gamma \beta \rho \alpha \kappa[\ldots] \rho \alpha$ <br> Obverse, right margin: <br> $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \varepsilon \alpha \eta[\ldots] \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota v \theta \omega[\ldots]$ <br> Reverse: <br> $T[. .$.$] nioco[...]e / cripus[...] / eorum o[...]n$ [...]rim[...] / retinete iapran / ur in ispatium |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [...] / [occi] / dite / exter / minate vulnerate Gallicu quen / peperit Prima in ista ora am / piteatri corona et $\operatorname{ar}[\ldots] a[\ldots] a[\ldots]$ / ludes orno[...]pe oc $\operatorname{ter}[\ldots] a[\ldots]$ ias / gula[...]neiu que $p[\ldots]$ ave / rite oc tene il li manus obliga[...] / [...]obture non liget ur[su] ursos / [...] par ill[...]i[...]ra[...]orat / [...] obliga illi pede $[s] m[e] / m[b r] a$ sensus me / dulla / obliga Gallicu quen peperit Prima ut / neque ursu neque tauru singulis plagis oc / cida[t n]eque binis plagis occidt neque ternis / plagis oc[ci]dat tauru ursu per nomen / dei vivi omnipotenstis ut perficeatus iam iam / cito cito allidat illu ursus et vulneret illu | Carthage | Competition |
| Obverse: <br> [...]be sancte ati / [uro t]e per deum vivu / [ $\mathrm{m} u t$ ] facias Tziolu q p/[Rest]uta et Tzelica <br>  | Carthage | Competition |



| [...]er leg[... T]zei / olu qp Restu[t]a eto / [Ade]siola $q$ p Victoria |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Bx $x x[\chi v \chi \ldots]$ qui es in Egipto magnu[s] / demon obliges perobliges Maurussum vena / torem quem peperit Felicitas / Iexpı auferas sumnum, non dormiat / Maurussus quem peperit F[e]licitas Пор $\pi x \xi ̆ \imath v$ deus omnipotens adducas / ad domus infernas Maurussum quem / perperit Felicitas NoxtoAxıt qui possides tractus Ita / lie et Campanie qui tractus es per / Acershium lacum [perducas ad] / [domus tartareas intra dies septe] / perductas as domus tartareas Maurus / sun quem perperit Felicitas intra dies septe / Bvtvסaxx demon qui possides Ispani / am et Africam qui solus per marem / trassis pertransseas hanimam et ispiri / tum Maurussi quem peperit Felici / tas pertranseas omnem remedium et / omnem filacterium et omnem tuta / mentum et omnem oleum libutorium / et perducatis obl[i]getis pe[r]obligetis / [...]etis apsumatis desumatis consu / $[\mathrm{m}] s t[i] s$ cor membra viscera interania / [M]auruss[i...] quem peperit / [Felicitas] <br> Obverse, right margin: <br> et te ad / [iu]ro quisquis inferne / [es] demon per hec sancta nomina necesitatis <br> Reverse: <br> $\mu \alpha[\sigma] \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \quad \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \quad \varphi v o v \kappa \varepsilon v[\tau \alpha] \beta \alpha[\omega \theta]$ <br>  $[\ldots] o \iota \tau[\ldots] \imath \tau[\ldots] \eta[\ldots] \omega[\ldots] \rho[\ldots] / \kappa \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha ́ v \delta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \varepsilon \iota \kappa \alpha ́ v \delta \alpha[\lambda \varepsilon]$ depre[ndatis] / [e]t faciatis | Carthage | Competition |


| pallidum mextum tristem / [...] mutum non se regentem Maurussum quem pe $[p e] r[i t]$ Felicitas / in omnem proelium in omni certamine evanescat ruat $[\ldots] t r[\ldots] e /$ Maurussus quem peperit Felicitas desub ampitiatri corona / eatem auram patiatur Maurussus quem peperit Felici[t]as [...]ere [non] possit perversus sit perperversus sit Maurussus quem $[p] e[p e]$ rit Fe[licitas...] / nec lac[ueos] possit super ursum mittere non alligare / [c]onlega[m] tenere omnino non possit manos illi et ro[bur] [pe]des illi obligentur non possit currere lassetu[r]e / [ha]nomam et ispuritum deponat in omnem prolium [in] omni[bus con] / [g]ressionibus depannetur vapulet vulneretur $[\ldots] u r[\ldots] e[m a] /[n] u s$ alienas inde [f]igatur traetur exiat Maurussu[s] [quem peperit $] / F[$ elicit $]$ as desub ampitiatri corona facie at terrae / [...] te cito depremite defigite perfigite consu[mite... Mau]ru[s] / [sum] quem peperit Felicitas et remise ferarum morsus fe[...] / [t]am tauros tam apros tam leones quae[...]l[...Mau] [rus]sus quem peperit Felicitas occidere possit [...] $m$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column I: <br> [adiuro vos a]nime [...]n[...]asse vius loci / [per] ec sancta nomina Psarchyrinर / oncrobrotescirvio arcadams / ter vos adiuro anime vius loci / erecisipte araracarara / eptisicere [c]ycbacyc bacaci / cyx bacaxicyc obrimemao / saum / obriulem patatnax apoms / psesro [i]aw iossef ioerbet / [i]opacerbet bolcoset date / interitu is venatoribus / Metrete Syndicio Celsano / Atsurio Felici Cardario Vincentio ne viribus suis / placere possint / adi $[u]$ ro vos per nomin $[a] /[\ldots]$ audita $o[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ | Carthage | Competition |


| Column II: <br> [adiuro vos per hec no]mina neces [sitatis] temae[...]cerciel baciel / [...]acixecese amestubal / merteme perturacrini mascel / li mascello fnycentabaot / zosagrac hunc epitto e reaton ypo ton lepeta oreo / peganyх et per magnum Caos vos adiuro / iabezepat erecisipte araracarara eptisicere cogens enim vos et reges / demoniorum bacaxicyxdemenon / bacaxicyx cogens enim vos et iu / dices exsenvium animarum qui vos / in tachymorey vite iodicaverunt / criny[...]arincbor cogens enim vos / et sangtus deus Mercurius in / fe[rnu]s coge[ns] ipse se[...]s[...]/[...] <br> Column III: <br> [...] ine fiat / decsocemri obligate / os venatores |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  / $\beta \alpha \sigma o v \mu ~ \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \theta v \alpha \xi ~ \chi \theta \varepsilon \theta \omega v ı ~ \rho ı v \gamma \chi о \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \rho[\omega ~ . .]$. $\alpha \pi о \mu \psi \pi \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \rho \beta \omega \theta \pi \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \theta \alpha \rho \alpha$ ı $\kappa[о] \nu \beta \iota \alpha$ а $\alpha \psi \kappa \alpha к о \chi$ <br> [...] / $\mu \omega \tau о v \tau о v \lambda \imath \psi ~ о \beta \rho ı o v \lambda \eta \mu ~ к v \mu[\ldots \ddot{\alpha}] v \alpha \xi$ $\beta \rho \alpha \kappa \kappa о \beta \alpha \rho[\ldots]$ / $\rho \sigma v \rho \alpha \beta \kappa \alpha \beta$ каі бv $\theta \varepsilon о \xi ̆ \eta \rho$ व̈v $\alpha \xi$ <br>  <br>  Cartag[in]is in 广ie / Mercuri in duobus cinque in tribus nove [Vi]ncent $\zeta$ o / Ţ̧ariţ̧oni quen peperit Concordia ut ursos liga / re non possit in omni ora <br>  <br>  <br>  $\beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda l o v ~ \dot{\mu} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ in Vinc / enţ̧o Tら̧aritఢ̧oni quen peperit Con[cor]dia in ampiţ̧atru / Carthaginis in Sie Mercuri obligate in $[p]$ licate lac[i]nia / Vincenţ̧o | Carthage | Competition |



|  | omni] / ora per ispiritales tra[ctus ...] / $\omega$ / $\eta \rho \varepsilon \chi \iota \sigma \iota \varphi \eta[\alpha \rho \alpha \rho] \alpha \chi \alpha[\rho \alpha \rho \alpha$ $\eta \varphi \theta \imath \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \rho \varepsilon] / \varepsilon v[\lambda] \alpha[\mu \omega]$ <br> Left margin: <br> Рєкию $П \eta \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \alpha \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \eta \varphi \theta$ бюкпрє <br> Right margin: <br> ı $\varphi \eta \not \square \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \alpha \eta \varphi \rho \theta$ ı |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 254 | [...] / ti ispidus $p[\ldots] /[\ldots] t$ spa[ti...]a[...] <br> $[\ldots] l p[\ldots] a[\ldots] c a[\ldots] /[\ldots] b a r$ тео $[\ldots]$ tиa $\ldots . .$. <br> qui [...] / tras atlu[...]u[...]o[...] / [...]in victorem <br> [...] ampit[eatro] / corona cor[on]a iam [iam] / [...] | Carthage | Competition |
| DT 258 | [...]se[...] / retur defix[...]ta def / cate apo / [...]ceti[...]ani illu e / [...] | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 259 | Obverse, there are a symbol and a figure engraved <br> Reverse: <br> $e a[\ldots] i n i[\ldots] / \operatorname{ard}[\ldots]$ | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 260 | There are six signa magica and a daemon engraved on this defixio | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 261 | [...]/rmntao | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 262 | There are five signa magica engraved on this defixio <br> Obverse: <br> marearmar | Carthage | Unknown |
| DT 263 | Laelianus / Saturninus / quos peperit A / quilia Satu / rnina | Hadrumetum | Unknown |
| DT 264 | Obverse, above figure: <br> [...] ${ }^{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \alpha \chi[\ldots] \sigma о v \mu \mu \alpha \rho \varepsilon \iota /[\ldots] \chi \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \omega \nu \quad \alpha \delta \alpha \omega \theta /$ <br> $[\ldots] \omega \pi \xi \pi \lambda \gamma[\ldots] v \gamma[\ldots] /[\ldots] \alpha \varsigma \omega \sigma \alpha \delta \alpha \omega \theta \alpha \rho \varphi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon$ <br> / [...] $\varepsilon \operatorname{vov} \alpha \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu ~ / ~[..] \alpha \rho \alpha[\ldots] \varepsilon[\ldots] \gamma \varepsilon \iota \alpha \omega$ / <br> [...] $\alpha \mu \varepsilon \iota \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma \alpha \delta \alpha \omega \theta$ | Hadrumetum | Love |


| Obverse, below figure: <br> $I \alpha \omega$ / $\imath \omega \imath \alpha / \sigma \alpha \delta \alpha \varsigma \theta$ / $\imath \omega \imath \alpha / \alpha \delta \delta \eta \lambda o \lambda \omega \rho$ [...] $] \lambda \varepsilon \chi \mu \varepsilon \iota \omega \theta$ / Victoria / quem peperit / Suavulva / puella[rum deli] / [ciae] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Alimbeu / columbeu / petalimbeu / faciatus Victoria / quem peperit Sua / vulva amante fu / rente pre amore / тео пеque somnи / vedent donec at me veniat puella[r]u d[eli] / cias <br> Reverse: <br> Desecus Ballinc / um Lolliorum / de curru actus / ne possit ate me / venire et tu quiqum / que es demon / te oro ut illa cogas / amoris et desideri / [mei] causa veni / [re at me] | Hadrumetum | Love <br> Competition |
| [...]ope commendo tibi quo[d] / [...]mella ut illan inmittas dae / [...]aliquos infernales ut non pes [...]is me contemnere sed faciat / [quodcu]mque desidero Vettia quem pepe / rit Optata vobis enim adiubantibus / ut amo[r]is mei causa non dormiat non ci / bum non escam accipere possit / peperit Optata sensum sap[i]entiam et [intel] / lectum et viluntatem ut amet me Fe[licem] / quem peperit Fructa ex ha[e] die ex h[ac ora] / ut obliviscatur patris et matris et [propinquor] / um suorum et amicorum omnium [et aliorum] / virorum amoris mei autem Fe[licis quem] / peperit Fructa Vettia que[m peperit Optata] / solum me in mente habeat [...dormi] / ens vigilans uratur frigat[...] / ardeat Vettia quam peper[it Optata...]/[a]moris et desideri m [ei causa] | Hadrumetum | Love |
| [...] Persefina obblegate illa im sensem et isapientiam [e]t inte[llectum ...]sebmen per me | Hadrumetum | Love |


| tialu[...] / [...] a recipiatisque nos per Bonosa qun peperi Bonosa demado [...]t volumtatem ut[...] [...]ate[...]me[... ut obliviscatur] patris et mtris [...]am ex hac diem ex ha[c ora] / [...p]er deo meo vivum / [...]de im [...]d[...] or[...]me[...]ea $[\ldots]$ te $[\ldots$ c $]$ elum et mare $[\ldots] r[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ et $d[\ldots] r$ ac ligo celum terra deu[m ...]ve[...] adque [...] [...]li[...]quit sit sub[...]os coronnos arissore hoc enobr [...]/[...]eramg[...]s quis $v[\ldots] \operatorname{er} t[\operatorname{er}]$ rae $[e] t$ damatameneus cemesilla[m...] / [...]de meos ades limi ut tu [...]arasaote[...]itiae [...] / [...]lon[...]li Bonosa quem vobis ego il[...] commendo lileca reci[piatis ...] / [...]nas e[..]pi[...]lar [...]vo[...] vovis re[...] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| horcizo se daemonion pneumn to enthade cimenon to onomati to agio $A \omega \theta / A \beta[\alpha] \omega \theta$ тòv $\theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ \tau o \tilde{v} ~ A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha v$ к $\alpha \grave{~ \tau o ̀ v ~} I \alpha \omega$ đòv $\tau \circ \tilde{v}$ I $\alpha \kappa о v ~ I \alpha \omega ~ / ~ A \omega \theta ~ A \beta \alpha \omega \theta ~ \theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ \tau o \tilde{v}$ <br>  <br>  apelthe pros ton Orbanon hon ethecn Urbana <br>  <br>  <br>  $\sigma v ́ \mu \beta \iota o[v] \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha l$ ó $\rho \kappa i \zeta \omega \sigma$ $\sigma \varepsilon$ đòv $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ / ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$ <br>  <br>  $\kappa \tau i ́ \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \rho \alpha v o ̀ v ~ к \alpha \grave{~} \tau \grave{v} v ~ \theta \dot{\alpha} / \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha v \cdot$ ó $\kappa i \zeta \zeta \omega ~ \sigma \varepsilon$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | Hadrumetum |  |











 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ̈ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ~ ह ́ v ~ o v ̉ \rho \alpha v \tilde{̣}$ тoı́ / $\sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha$ סlà $\varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$




 $\sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha$ бク $\mu \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha ~ \varepsilon ́ v ~ o u ̉ \rho \alpha v \tilde{̣} ~ \kappa[\alpha i] ~ ह ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma ~$




 $\sigma v ́ \mu \beta \iota o[c] ~ \gamma \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \cdot$ ó $\kappa \kappa i \zeta \omega ~ \sigma \varepsilon ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha v v ~$



 то̃ Kvpíov / $\alpha[i] \omega[v i ́ o v]$ ג̀ $\theta \alpha v \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varphi o ́ \pi \tau о v ~$

 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~[\tau \grave{\nu} v] ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta}[v] ~ A \omega \theta ~ A \beta \alpha \omega \theta ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ \tau o \tilde{v} ~ A \beta \rho \alpha \alpha v ~ к \alpha \grave{~}}$ тòv I $\alpha \omega$ тòv то̃ $\operatorname{I\alpha \kappa ov~/~I\alpha \omega ~A\omega \theta ~A\beta \alpha \omega \theta ~\theta \varepsilon \grave {v}v~\tau o\tilde {v}}$

|  $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{v} v ~ \triangle o \mu ı \tau \iota \alpha v \alpha ́ v ~ \grave{\eta} v ~ \varepsilon ̈ \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon v ~ K \alpha v \delta ı \delta \alpha ́ ~ ह ́ \rho \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha$ <br>  <br>  <br>  $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \zeta \omega \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \chi \rho o ́ v \varphi ~ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma o v ~ \alpha u ̉ / ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \omega ́ \varsigma ~ \delta o u ̃ \lambda o v ~$ <br>  $\gamma v v \alpha i ̃ \kappa \alpha ~ \mu \eta ́ \tau \varepsilon ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \varepsilon ́ \pi ı \theta v \mu о \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha ~ \mu o ́ v \eta v ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~$ <br>  $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \zeta \omega \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha v \dot{\tau} \tilde{\omega}[\nu \chi \rho o ́ v \omega] \cdot / \eta \not \nabla \eta \eta \eta \not \eta \eta, \tau \alpha \chi \grave{v} \tau \alpha \chi \dot{v}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Sarbasmisarab / Delicatianus Capria Volucer Nervicus / comes cadat Dextroiugus Nous cum Amando / Germanicus Celestinus comes cadat Hilarin / us Polidromus Delicatus Maurusius Salutaris cada / t Blandus Profugus Pretiosus Germanicus Amor / Pelops Zefurus Alcastrus Clarus Clarus cadat ca / dat Funarius Nous cum Dario Superbus Tetrap / la cadant Blandus Gemmatus Attonitus pra / sini Roseus Salutaris cadat, comes Salutaris Clarus / cad / an frangan disiungantur male guren palma / vincere [n]on possin Sarbasmisarab <br> Reverse: <br> Feiub | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| Obverse: <br> Sarbasmisarab. / Delicaltanus Capri / a Volu[cer N]ervicus / Basilius Nilus Scintilla Hilari / nus Poli[d]romus Delicatus Marru / sius Blandus Profugus Pretiosus / Gemmatus Amor Pelops [Z]efurus / Alcastrus Attonitus Roseus / Germanicus Celestinus Cla / rus Salutaris Socrates co / mes haec | Hadrumetum | Competition |


| nomina hominum / et equorum que dedi vobis / cadan precor bos Sarbasmi / sarab <br> Reverse: <br> Feiub |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Sarbasmisarab / Delicaltanus Ca / pria Volucer Nervicus $B[$ asi $]$ / lius Nilus Scintilla Hila[ri] / nus Polidromus Delicatus / [M]aurisiu[s] Blandus Profu[gus] / Pretiosus [G]emmatus [A]mo[r] Pelops Zefurus Alcastrus $A[t]$ / tonitus [Ro]seus Germanic[us] / Celesti[n]us Clarus Salutaris / comes [So]crates precor ho / mines et equi fragan Sarbasmisarab <br> Reverse: <br> Aur / iub | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| KККАААААА ӨФІОІІАІАІАО / Privatianu Supestianu russei qui et Naucelliu Salutare Supestite russei servu Reguli Eliu Castore Repentinu / KKКАААААА ӨФIOIIAIAIAO / Glaucu Argutu veneti Destroiugu Glauci cadant Lydu / Alumnu cadant Italu Tyriu cadant Faru cadant Croceu cadant / Elegantu cadant Prancatiu Oclopecta Verbosu cadant / Adamatu cadant Securu Mantineu Prevalente cadant / Paratu Vagarfita cadant Divite Garulu cadant Cesareu / Germanicu veneti cadant Danuviu cadant / KKKAAAA14 ӨФIOIIAIAIAO / Latrone Vagulu cadant Agricola cadant Cursore Auricomu cadant Epafu cadant Hellenicu cadant $/$ Ideu Centauru cadant Bracatu Virgineu cadant Ganimede cadant Multivolu cadant E[o]lu / Oceanu Eminentu cada[nt T]agu cadant / Eucles cadant | Hadrumetum | Competition |


| Verbosu cadant / KKKAAAA1A ©ФIOIIAIAIAO / <br> Privatianu cadat vertat frangat male giret KKKAAAムАА $\Theta$ ФIOIIAIAIAO / Naucelliu Supestianu russei cadat vert[at fran]gat KKKAAA114 ЄФIOIIAIAIAO / Supestite russei servu Reguli cadat vertat fran[gat] / Salutare cadat vertat frangat / Eliu cadat vertat frangat vertat Castore cadat vertat frangat vertat / Repentinu cadat vertat frangat / KKKAAAム1А $\Theta$ ФIOIIAIAIAO <br> Left margin: <br> obligate et gravate equos veneti et russei ne currere possint nec fre / re possint nec retinere equos possint nec ante se nec adversarios suos <br> Lower margin: nis audire possint nec se moere possint / videant nec vincant vertant <br> Right margin: <br> set cadant frangant dis[f]rangantur et agitantes veneti et russei <br> Upper margin: vertant nec lora teneant nec agita |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Privatianu cadat vertat Salutare cadat vertat [Su]pestianu russei qui et Naucelliu cadat vertat Castore / [Su]pestite russei servu Reguli cadat vertat Eliu vertat / [Ro]manu cadat vertat Repentinu cadat vertat / [Arg]utu Croceu cadant Tyriu Hel[iu cad]ant Lupercu Faru cadant / [Can]dore cadat Crisaspis Tigride cadant Alumnu cadat / [C]entauru Ideu cadant Virgineu Bracatu cadant Lydeu cadat | Hadrumetum | Competition |


| [Au]ri[co]mu Adamatu cadant Epafu cadat Victore cadat / [Pancrati]u Oclopecta Verbosu Crinitu cadant frangant / [Securu M]antineu Prevalente cadant Elegante cadat / Latrone Vagulu Improbu Vagarfita cadant fran[gant] / Hellenicu cadat Delusore cadat Garulu cadat / Lydeu cadat Danubiu Inumanu cadant Lyceu / Iuvene Capria Mirandu cadant Cesareu Divite / Tagu Agricola cadant Ganimede Cursore cadat [...Na]uc[elliu] ve[rtat] Salutare vertat / [...v]ertat <br> Left margin: <br> [alligate et obligate equos ven]eti et russei / [...nec ante se] nec adversario[s suos] <br> Right margin: <br> [fran]gant disfrangantur male girent <br> Upper margin: <br> agitantes veneti et russei vertant nec |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Privatianu cadat vertat Salutare cadat vertat / Supestianu russei qui et Naucelliu cadat vertat / Supestite russei servu Reguli $[$ ca]d[a]t vertat Romanu cadat vertat Repentinu c[adat] ver[tat] Eliu cadat vertat Ca[store cad]at vertat / Argutu Cro[ceu cada]nt Tyriu Luper[cu ... ca]dant / Italu cad[at ... cad]at Cen[tauru ...] / Crisas[pis ...] / [...] <br> Left margin: <br> [alligate et obligate equos ...] / nec agitare possint nec [...] | Hadrumetum | Competition |



|  | [...] <br> Reverse, all signa magica |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 279 | Supestianu qui et Naucelliu cadat vertat frangat / Zitrie cadat vertat frangat Romanu / Niofitianu cadat vertat frangat Lydeu / Supestite cadat vertat frangat Repenti[nu] / Eupropete cadat frangat vertat Verbosu cadat Mantineu Prevalente cadant Vagarfita cadat Paratu cadat Elegantu / Puerina cadat Iperesiu [...] / Diamante cadat S[ec]undin[u ...]ervu cadat / [...]a cadat frangat disfran[ga]tur / Cassidatu cadat Vagulu Oceanu cadant / Iscintilla cadat Car[...]lu cadant / Gentile cadat Equi $[$ colu $]$ cadat [...] / Bracciatu cadat Germanu [...] / Amandu [Cel]estin[u ...] | Hadrumetum | Competition |
|  | Left margin: alligate et obligate et gra[v]ate equos veneti et russ[ei ...]/[...] agitantes veneti et russ[ei ...] <br> Right margin: <br> [n]ec prendant $[\ldots$ fr]enis $[\ldots]$ / $[\ldots n e] c$ vincant [vertant] <br> Upper margin: <br> cadant fran[gant ...]ant |  |  |
| DT 280 | Naucelliu Supestianu Heliu / Privatianu Zenore Castore / [...]e aratore cadant / Macedone Atquesitore cadant / Hellenicu Virgineu cadant / Comatu Indu cadant / Fariu Ama[t]u cadant / Ideu Centauru cadant <br> Left margin: | Hadrumetum | Competition |




> Privatianu cadat vertat Salutare cadat vertat / Supestianu russei qui et Naucelliu cadat vertat / Supestite russei servu Reguli cadat vertat / E[li]u cadat Castore cadat [Re]pentinu cadat / E[li]u cadat Castore cadat [Re]pentinu cadat / Faru cadat Candore cadat Crisaspis [T]i[g]ride cadat / Alumnu cadat Ideu Centauru cadant Lydeu cadat / Virgineu Bracatu cadant Adamatu cadat Lyceu / Epafu cadat Victore cadat Elegante cadat Lydeu / Pancratiu Oclopecta Verbosu Crinitu cadant / Lydeu cadat Securu Mantineu Prevalente cadant / Hilaru cadat Latrone Vagulu cadant Lydeu / [...]reu[... c]adat Hellenicu cadat / Danuviu cadat Inhum[a]nu cada[t D]erisore cadat/ Improbu Vagarfi[ta cadant] Iuvene Capria Mirandu cadat / Caesareu Divite Garul[u cada]nt Ganimede cadat / Cursore Agri[co]la cadat Vagarfita cadant / Privatianu cadat vertat Salutare cadat vertat / [Supes]tianu russei qui et Naucelliu cadat vertat / [Supes]tite russei servu Reguli cadat vertat Eliu ver[t]at / [Ro]manu cadat vertat Castore vertat Repentinu cadat Left margin: alligate et obligate equos veneti et r[us]se[i] ne possint cadant frangant disiungantur male gire Lowrere p[ossint] / nec lo[ra] teneant nec ante se vider[e possint] n[ec] adversario[s suos sed] [nec frenis audir]e possint [nec se] moere / [v]ertant frang[a]nt palma vincere non possint

| Upper margin: <br> nt et agitantes veneti et russei vertant <br> Reverse, all signa magica |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Privatianu Naucelliu Supes[tian]u russei Repentinu <br> / Supesti[te russ]ei servu Reguli Eliu Castore Elegante / Glaucu Argutu veneti Destroiugu Glauci cadant Elegante cadant / Ideu Centauru cadant Bracatu Virgineu cadant Noviciu / Securu Mantineu Prevalente Ilarinu cadant Danuviu / Pancratiu Oclopecta Verbosu Crinitu cadant Auricomu Elegante Cesareu Improbu Vagarfita cadant Paratu Delusore cadant Latrone Vagulu cadant Hellenu / Divite Garulu cadant Adamatu cadant Danuviu cadant / Acceptore cadant Germanicu veneti cadant Elegante / Eolu Decore Oceanu Eminente Tagu cadant Eburnu / Epafu cadant Agricola cadant[Mir]andu veneti Multivolu Capria Inhumanu cadant Voluptate Capriolu Viatore / Securu [Au]guriu Audace Pardu Tigride Percussore / Aliatore cadat Massinissa cadant frangant / Privatianu cadat vertat Naucelliu cadat vertat / Supestite russei servu Reguli cadant vertant / Eliu Castore cadat vertat Eliu cadat vertat Lidu / Repentinu cadat vertat Naucelliu vertat / [...v]ertant Privatianu / [...] Supestite russei se[rvu Re]guli / [Cas]tore et Eliu et Repentinu et Castore / et $\operatorname{Pr}[$ ivati]anu et Naucelliu nec agitare / possint nec retine[r]e equos $p$ [ossin]t nec lora / [teneant ...] [...] / [...] / non possint alliga[te e]t ob[lig]a[te et grav]at[e] / equos veneti et russei ne currere $p[o] s s[i] n t / n e c ~ f r e n i s ~ a u d i r e ~ p o s s i n t ~ n e c ~ p e d e s ~$ | Hadrumetum | Competition |


|  | moere possint / set cadant frangant disiungantur [...] / palma vincere non possint <br> Upper margin: <br> Iao Adonaei OIOA <br> Left margin: <br> OSOSNANOSCACOS daemon <br> Lower margin: <br> Soeches OO[...]OCSIOSE <br> Right margin: <br> PThORIChOTAVCREA[...]EC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 286 | Obverse: <br> Cuigeu / censeu / cinbeu / Perfleu Animo / diarunco arait / deasta to / bescu / berbescu / arurara baక̧agra / Noctivagus / Tiberis Oceanus <br> Reverse: <br> Adiuro te demon qui / cunque es et demando ti / bi ex anc ora ex anc di / e ex oc momento ut equos / prasini et albi crucies / ocidas et agitatore Cla / rum et felice et Primu / lum et Romanum ocidas / collida neque spiritum / illis lerinquas adiuro te / per eum qui te resolvit / temporibus deum pela[g]i / cum aerium I $\alpha \omega$ I $\alpha$ sda $\omega$ / oopı $\omega$ $\alpha \eta \iota \alpha$ | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| DT 287 | Obverse: <br> [...]/[...]omn /milue / a sit n/[...]u/[...]alus [Bub] gum lugo e ve / [...]as ocidas ex oc die ner / [v]a [vi] illis concidas ne[que] / [...]asetame p[oss]int <br> Reverse : | Hadrumetum | Competition |



| Reverse: <br> [...]lve sancte $a[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ eret a in te $p[\ldots]$ et te[...]ta <br> / [...]eas[...]cus op[se]cro te venias ad $[\ldots] e[\ldots] t[\ldots] e$ et os equos[...] / [...]tiante contra[h]as tuis[...]e[...] / Aufer[as] ab eis nervia vires med $[u l]$ / las im[pe]tos victorias noli meas [sper] / [n]ere v[oc]es s[et mov]ean te hec $[s u] \operatorname{ppos}[i] t[a \ldots]$ mate $[. .$.$] ter / si cuis$ tali[...]ta[...]neces finia / ultima nomina Cuigeu cense[u] / cimbeu perfleu [d]iarunco veasta / bescu cerebescu [a]rurara ba[弓a]gr[a] / noli meas spernere voce set equos / prasini et albi $e[. .$.$] cia [...cr]ucia[s] / auferas illis dulce somnum$ fac eos ne / currere possint oc te peto aure[...]om / nervitatem tenpus et necessita[tis] / tue depremas $e[q u o] s e[q] u o s$ tecum $n[\ldots] /$ supositos tue $b[. .$.$] mmate[...]ste$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> [...] / [...]bu / [...] / [...]pie[...]/[...] / mare aruta fra / gatt $[\ldots] e i[\ldots] b$ tru / gatt $[\ldots] e o[\ldots] b$ tru <br> Reverse: <br> [Adiu]ro te demon [...]be et dem $[$ an $] /[$ do tibi $]$ ex anc die ex oc mo / [mento...] / [...] / [...] / [...] / [...]m adiu $/[\mathrm{ro}]$ te per eum qui te re / [solvi]t vite temporibus de / [um pelagicum] aerium altis / [simum...]ai[...] | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| Obverse, left of figure : <br> [...] / [...qui te] re / [s]olvit ex vi / te temporib / us deum / pela[gicum] / [...] <br> Obverse, right of figure: | Hadrumetum | Competition |

DT 292

DT 293

| Adiu[ro] / te de[mon] / quicu[nque] / et de[man] / do $[t i b i] /$ ex anc $d[i e] /$ ex anc o $[\mathrm{ra}] /$ ex oc $m[\mathrm{omen}]$ to ut cru[ci] / etur ad [...] / u u[...] / [a]itmo / arpitto /[...]/me[...]iat[...]dit[...]/[..]/[...]aini[...] <br> Reverse: <br> [Adiu]ro te demon cuiqun / [que es] et demando tibi / [...] / [...]ut crucietur / [...] / [...]/[...]n q[ui] te / [...]vit / [...]deum pelagi / [cu]m aerium altissimum / I $\alpha \omega$ oг ov $\tau \alpha \alpha \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$ / [...] $\tau \alpha[\ldots]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Quint[...]o / Ocuria anoर / [oton] b[arnion] formione / [efecebul] <br> Reverse: <br> Adiuro te qu[i]cunqu[e] / es et demando tibi ex [oc die] / ex ac ora ex oc momento / ut crucietur Adbocata / per eum qui te resolvit / vite temporibus deum / pelagicum aerium altis / [adi]u[r]o ut hos $h[a] b[i] a[s]$ quos / [...]d[...]a[...]b[...]ei bite $[$ co $] m m[$ endo $] /[\ldots] / \eta[\ldots] \omega \omega \alpha \eta \imath \alpha[\ldots]$ | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| Obverse: <br> Ocuria / anox / oton / barnion / formione / efecebul / Adiuro te demon quicunque es et deman / do tibi ex anc die ex anc ora ex hoc momento / ut crucietur ad diem illum / adiuro te per eum qui te [r]esolvit ex vite / temporibus deum pelagicum aerium / altissimum $I \alpha \omega$ ol ov $\quad l \alpha / \imath \alpha \alpha$ l $\omega \quad \imath \omega \varepsilon$ ooplv $\omega$ a $\quad \eta l \alpha / \varepsilon /$ illi Peciolus descum / Lynceus frangatur / efecebul / formione / barnion / oton / anox / Ocuria <br> Reverse: | Hadrumetum | Competition |

DT 294

DT 295

| Adiuro te demon / quincunque es et de / mando tibi ex hanc / die ex hanc ora ex oc / momento ut crucie / tur adiuro te per eum / qui te resolvit ex vite temporibus deum pela / gicum aerium altissimu $[m]$ <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ocuria / anox / oton / barnion / formione / efecebul / Adiuro te dem[on] quicun $/ q[u]$ e et demando ut ex hoc die / [ex] hac hora ex hoc momento cru / cietur [...]b[...]inferno / [...]e[...]obis adiuro te per eum [qui te res]olvit ex vte tempori / [bus deu]m pelagicum aerium /altissimum I $\alpha \omega$ oıovı $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha /[\alpha$ $\iota \omega \quad \imath \omega \varepsilon$ oop]ivooq $\imath \alpha /$ efecebul / formione / barnion / oton / anoर / Ocuria / Ri[...] / Frangrio / Peciolus / Profugu $[s]$ | Hadrumetum | Competition |
| $Y \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \varepsilon[\mu] \imath \gamma \alpha \delta[\omega v] \quad \imath[\omega] \quad \alpha \omega \quad \beta \alpha \theta \beta \omega / \varepsilon \eta \alpha \eta \imath \varepsilon[\ldots]$ <br>  $[x] \alpha x \eta \tau \eta ~ \alpha x \rho о v \rho о \beta \pi \rho \varepsilon$ хо $\delta \eta \rho \varepsilon / \delta \rho о \pi \iota \delta \eta \tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \rho о v \chi \varepsilon$ $\alpha v o \chi \alpha v o \chi / x \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \rho \varepsilon \tau \mu \omega \varphi о \beta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \rho \circ \varsigma \tau / \varepsilon[\ldots] v v \eta$ $x \alpha \tau \alpha v \varepsilon ı x \alpha \omega \delta \rho \alpha$ б $\alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \iota ~ / ~[..] \sigma \alpha ~ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \lambda о \delta \varepsilon \xi ६ \varepsilon$ бع $\rho o v a \beta \theta$ os tibi / commendo quoniuam ma入edixit / partourientem, currant cuillic / et demones infernales obliga / te illis equis pedes ne currere / possint illis equis quorum no / mina hic scripta et demandata / habetis Incletum Nitidum Patri / ciou Nauta olovv [...] $\alpha \alpha$ т $\alpha \chi \alpha \rho \chi \eta v ~ / ~ o b l i g a t e ~ i l l o s ~ n e ~$ currere possit cra / stini et perendinic cir[cens]ibus / Patricium Nitidum Na[ut]a Incleto / $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \chi \eta v$ tou autem Domina / Canpana रambtrras Nitidum Patricium Nauta Incletu $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho$ / $\chi \eta v$ ne currere possint cras et / perendie et omnibus horis / in circo ruant quomodo et tu / iucundu emeritus es ßıoб / $\theta \alpha v \alpha \tau o s ~ i a m ~ i a m ~ c i t o ~ c i t o ~ / ~ q u o n i a m ~ d[e] d u c u n t ~ i l l o s ~$ / $\sigma \varphi \varphi \omega \mathrm{vl} \mathrm{\alpha xı}$ $\alpha$ ц $\mu$ oves | Hadrumetum | Competition |


| DT 297 | [...] / mi [...] / [...]koue / [...]mado m / [...]tene il[lum] / [...]eate / [...]pae / dicae[...]apmi / do[...]komme[ndo] / facendae / me[...]ente / ari[...]ou / hec / ee | Hadrumetum | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT 300 | Obverse: <br> [...]aviuli / [...]tei gutur / babo / w / o o os / [...]o / [...a]tur / Desumatur / ut facia[s] il[[]um sine / sensum sine memo / ria sine ritu sine / medul[l]a / sit vi mutuscus <br> Reverse: <br> [...] ento demando tibi ut ac[c]eptu[ $m$ ] [h]abeas / [S]ilvanuq puulva fac[i]a[s] et custodias / [...] nto [de] / mando ut fa / cia lum mo / rtu[um] / depona[s] / eum at / Tartara | Hadrumetum | Legal |
| DT 303 | Fragment I: <br> [m]edia extrema novisima [...] / [...] coligo ligo ligua luc gavi $[. .$.$] media / [ext]rem novisima ne quit$ repo[n]dere facias / varios [...]coligo ligua [...] / [novi]sima nequ repoderi facuas ilos muto $[s] /$ [lig]ua lig[o] coligo [...]xili[...]rom rep[ondere] <br> Fragment II: <br> [...] / [...]o liguas le o media extrem no[visima]/ [...]ap[...]soret[...]s aligo coligo [...] / [...]mane quit possi $[n t]$ mihi [...] / [...]ns vari aligo coligo ligua [...] / novisima ne quit ripodere facias ilos / potiora suidi videxmi aligo coligo / novisima ni quet [...]x[...]ospin / [fa]cias [...] / [me]dia extrema novisima mutos mu[tos] / [...]Publius Curtius alligo colligo ligo [...] / [...]ilo[...]um ligo co[ligo ...] <br> Fragment III: | Hadrumetum | Legal |

```
[...] qui / aligo co[l]igo [...]/ media ext[rema]
Fragment IV:
[...] quit / [...] ne quit / [...]
Fragment V:
[...] / [...] / [...] / [...] ligo [...] / [...] / [...] u aligo
coligo ligu[a]/[...]o[...]vamus[...]
Fragment VI:
[...] / [...]vi[...]ne quit ripo / [d...media] extrema /
[...]/[...] ligua / [...]/[...]/[...]n[...]as[...]n[...]
```


## Appendix II

| Defixio | Ancient text | Location | Motive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMB 1 | Obverse: <br> Mariv <br> Reverse: <br> C6CEc4 | Alcester | Unknown |
| CMB 2 | qu[i] mihi Vilviam in[v]olavit / sic liquat co[odo] aqua / [...] qui eam [invol]avit / <br> Velvinna Ex[s]upereus / Verianus <br> Serverinus / Agustalis Comitianus <br> Minianus Catus / Germanill[a] Iovina | Bath | Other, <br> Kidnapping, <br> Theft |
| CMB 3 | Severianus fil[ius] Brigomall[a]e <br> Patarnianus filius / Matarunus ussor / <br> Catonius Potentini / Marinianus Belcati <br> / Lucillus Lucciani / Aeternus Ingenui / <br> Bellaus Bellini | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 4 | Obverse: <br> seu gen[tilli]s seu C / h[r]istianus quaecumque utrum vir / utrum mulier utrum puer utrum puella / utrim servus utrum liber mihi Annian / o mantutene de bursa mea $s[e] x$ argente $[o] s / f u r a v e r i t ~ t u ~$ $d[o]$ mina dea ad ipso perxi $[g] / e[e o] s$ si mihi per [f]raudem aliquam INDEP / REGSTVM dederit nec sic ipsi dona sed ut sangu / inem suum EPVTES qui mihi hoc inrogaverit <br> Reverse: <br> Postum[ianu]s Pisso / Locinna Alauna / Meterna Gunsula / C[an]didina Euticius <br> / Peregrinus / Latinus / Senicianus / | Bath | Theft |


|  | Avitanus / Victor / Scu[tri]us / Aessicunia / Paltucca / Calliopis / Celerianus |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMB 5 | Obverse: <br> [D]eae Suli donavi [...arg] / [e]ntiolos seks quos perd[idi] / a nomin $[i] b u s$ infrascript $[i s]$ / deae exactura est Senicianus et Saturniius sed / et Ann[i]ola carta picta perscri[pta] <br> Reverse: <br> An[i]ola / Senicianus / Saturnius | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 6 | Severa / Draconitus / Spectatus <br> Innocentius / Senicio / Candidianus <br> [Si]mplicius / Belator / Surilla / Austus / <br> Carinianu [s] | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 7 | Obverse: <br> Docilianus / Bruceri [filius] / deae sanctissim[a]e / Suli / devodeo eum [q]ui / caracellam mean / involaverit si / vir si femina si / servus si liber / ut [...] us dea Sulis / maximo letum / [a]digat nec ei so / mnum permit <br> Reverse: <br> tat nec natos nec / nascentes do / [ne]x caracallam / meam ad tem / plum sui numi / nus per [t]ulerit | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| CMB 8 | Uricalus Do[c]ilosa uxor sua / Docilis filius suus et Docilina / Dencentinus frater suus Alogiosa / nomina eorum qui iuraverunt / qui iuraverunt ad fontem deae Suli $[s] /$ prid[i]e idus Apriles | Bath | Other |


| CMB 9 | quicumque illis per / iuraverit deae Suli facias illum / sanguine suo illud satisfacere |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Brittuenda / Marinus / Memorina | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 10 | Obverse: <br> Nomen / furis qui / LATERA <br> Reverse: IRQVET / donatu /r[...] | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 11 | Obverse: <br> Pet[it]io / rove te / Victoria vind[...] cun[...] Minici / Cunomolius / Minerva ussor / Cunitius ser[v]us / Sempvara ussor / Lavidendus ser[v]us / Mattonius $\operatorname{ser}[v] u s$ / Catinius exsactoris / furem / Methianu[s...] <br> Reverse: <br> [...a]micus[...] / TPIAS <br> GINENINVSV[S] / gienunus | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 12 | nomen rei / qui destra / le involave / rit | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 13 | Deae Suli Minervae Docca / dono numini tuo pecuniam quam / misi id est [denarios quinque] et is $[q] u i /[\ldots$ eam involaveri]t si ser[vu]s s[iliber ...] / [...] ex s igatur [...] | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 14 | Obverse: <br> Basilia donat in templum Martis ani lum argentuem si servus si liber [ta] $m[e] d i u s$ fuerit vel aliquis de hoc noverit ut sanguin $[e]$ et liminibus ob | Bath | Theft |

CMB 15

CMB 16

CMB 17

CMB 18

CMB 19

| Obverse, perpendicular to other writing: Primurudem |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reverse: <br> omnibus membris configatur vel et / iam intestinis excomesis [om]nibus habet[at] / si qui anilum involavit vet qui medius / fuerit |  |  |
| Cunsa / Docimedis / Sedebelia / Maria / <br> Vendibedis / Cunsus / Severiaanus <br> Seniila | Bath | Unknown |
| Victorinus / Talipieinus / Minatius <br> Victorianus / Campe / pedita <br> Valauneicus / aBelia | Bath | Unknown |
| Execro qui incolaver / it qui Deomiorix de hos / ipitio sue perdiderit qui / cumque $r e[u] s$ deus illum / inveniat sanguine et / vitae suae illud redemat | Bath | Theft |
| Minervae / de[ae] Suli donavi / furem qui / caracallam / mean invo / lavit si servus / si liber si ba / ro si mulier / hoc donum non / redemat nessi / sangu[i]ne suo | Bath | Theft |
| Obverse: <br> $a[e] \mathrm{n}[u m$ me]um qui levavit $[e] x c$ onic[tu]s [e]st temlo Sulis / dono si mulier si baro si ser / vus si liber si pure su puella / et qui hoc fecerit san gu[in]em suum in ipsum aen / mu fundat <br> Reverse: <br> dono si mul[ie]r si / ba[ro] si servus si lib / er si puer puel / la eum latr[on] / em qui | Bath | Theft |

CMB 20

CMB 21

CMB 22

CMB 23

CMB 24

CMB 25

CMB 26

CMB 27

CMB 28

| rem ipsa / m involavi $[t] d /$ eus [i]nvenia[ $t$ ] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Britivenda / Venibelia | Bath | Unknown |
| Lovernisca d[onat] / eum qui sive $v[i r]$ / <br>  ina $[\ldots]$ sortium $[\ldots]$ i $[n]$ volaverit | Bath | Theft |
| [... perm]ittas / [... solmn]um nec sanita / [tem...n]isi tandiu ta / [...] iat quandiu hoc / [... ill]ud se habuerit / [...]si vir si femina / [...] si ancilla | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| Docimedis / [p]erdidi $[t]$ mani / cilla dua qui / illas involavi $[t]$ / ut mentes sua $[s]$ / perd $[a t]$ et / pculos su[o]s / in fano ubi / destina $[t]$ | Bath | Theft |
| [...] eocrotis perdidi la[enam] [pa]lleum sagum paxsam do[navi] / [... S]ulis ut hoc ant dies novem / [si li]ber si $\operatorname{ser}[v] u s$ si $[l i] b e r$ si serva / [si] pu[e]r si puell $[$ a i]n rostr $[o] s[u o] / \operatorname{defera}[t$...] / caballarem s[i servus si liber si] / serva si libera si puer [si puella] / in sue rostro defer[at] | Bath | Theft |
| stragulum $q[u e] m /[p]$ erdidi anim $[a \ldots$. invo] / lavit [...] nisi / s[an]g[u]ine sua | Bath | Theft |
| [...s] / ang[ine] / noctis / qui mih[i] / uиi [...] | Bath | Unknown |
| [...invo] / laver[it...] / furerit [...] / vi si l[iber si servus quicum] / que co[...] | Bath | Theft |
| ```[...]ve[...] / [...]diabt[...] / [si l]iber [si servus ...]``` | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |

CMB 29

CMB 30

CMB 32

CMB 33

CMB 34

CMB 35

CMB 36

CMB 37

CMB 38

| [...] marin[us ...] / [...] quas pe[rdidi] <br> [...]tiolo meo[...] / [i]nvolaverit si [...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [...] involaverit [...]llum invola / [verit $]$ virus [...] mulieris [...]illido[...]us [...]llum vitali[...] / espeditus[...] tatirum [...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| Obverse: <br> Senianus / Magnus / Mc [...] <br> Reverse: <br> Lucianu[s] Marcellianus / [M]allainus / <br> Mu[t]ata Medol[...] / geacus | Bath | Unknown |
| Adixoui / deiana / deieda / andagin / uindiorix / cuamiin / ai | Bath | Unknown |
| [...] em det nisi / [...] in vero d[e]ae S[ulis...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| [...d]eae Su[li...] | Bath | Unknown |
| [... dea] Sulis t[ibi] [...]/[...]en[...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| si [qui]s vome / rem Civilis / involavit / ut an [imam] / suua $[m]$ in tem / plo deponat / [si n]o[n] vom / [erem ...]ub / [...si se]rvus / si liber si li / bertinus[...] / unan[...]o / finem faci / [a]m | Bath | Theft |
| deae Suli Minerv[a]e Soli / nus dono numini tuo ma / iestati paxa[m] ba[ln]earem et [pal] / leum [nec p]ermitta[s so]mnum / nec san[ita]tem ei qui mihi fr $[a]$ u / dem [f]ecit si vir si femi[na] si servus / s[i] l[ib]er nissi $[s] e$ | Bath | Theft |

CMB 39

CMB 40

CMB 41

CMB 42

CMB 43

CMB 44

| retefens istas / s[p]ecies ad [te]mplum tuum detulerit / [li]beri sui vel son [...] sua e[t] qui / [...]deg[...] / ei quoque [...]xe[...]/[...so]mnит ne[c sanitate]/ $m[\ldots] n[\ldots]$ alul $[e] u m$ / et relinq $[u a] s$ nissi as [te]mplum tu / um istas res retulerint |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deo Marti[...] / do[no] maiest[ati tue] / sacellum [...] / nisi e[...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| deae Sul[i] Minervae / rogo <br> [s]anctissimam / maiestatem tuam $u[t]$ / vindices ab his $[q]$ ui $[f r a] /[u d e]$ fecerunt ut ei[s per] / mittas nec s[o]mnum [nec...] | Bath | Vengeance |
| [...]q[...]/et invol[vit ...]/duo de [...]/ adhuisgar [...] / deveniat si lib[er] / si ser $[v]$ us si peur $[s i] /[p] u e l l a ~ s i ~ v i r ~ s[i$ ...] | Bath | Theft |
| Obverse: <br> illorum anima / las[s]er[ur] / titumus / sedileubisediac / иаquератип <br> Reverse: <br> Exsibuus / lothuius / mascntius aesibuas / petiacus | Bath | Vengeance |
| [...] dono ti $/[$ bi...] ream $/[\ldots][[\ldots]$ sivio meo / [...e e]x[i]gas pe[rsa] / nguinem $e[i u s]$ qui has / [involave]rit vel qui [medius fuer]it si femina / [...]o [si] liber / [...]sa[...]/[...]um pertuleri[ $t]$ | Bath | Theft |
| qui involaverit / si ser[v]us si lib[e]r <br> [...] / Totia / anima[m] suam / [q]u[i | Bath | Theft |

CMB 45

CMB 46

CMB 47

CMB 48

CMB 49

CMB 50

CMB 51
i]nvolav $[\ldots] /[\ldots] a[\mathrm{~m}]$ meam $/[\ldots] /$
$q u[i]$ in / volavi $[\ldots]$

| qui alamaea / negat sanguine / Bath | Other, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\ldots]$ inen $[\ldots] / d e[s] t[$ in $]$ at | False |
| accusations |  |

[...r]ipuit ut [eo]rum pretium / [...et e]xigas hoc per sanguinem et sa / [nitatem sua]m et suorm nec ante illos pati $[a] r /[$ is bibere nec m]manducare nec / adsellare nec / [...]ius hoc [...]bisoverit
$[\ldots] p u /[\ldots]$ dono ti $[b i] /[p]$ alliu $[$
Obverse:
deae Suli $[\ldots] /[\ldots] /[\ldots]$ is qu $[i]$
Reverse:
si servus si liber si quis cum[que] / erit non illi permittas nec / oculos nec anitatem nisi caeciatatem / orbitatemque quoad vixerit / nisi haec ad fanum [...]
desulimine [...] / aeeosquiamaliama [...] / tlrasuendetsilumla [...] / corregenetc [...]egeet [...] fan [...] / tlsuu dea [...] tedo [...] /
etqohabunit $[\ldots]$ setrodeam
etsanuenesua $[\ldots]$ bitquime / uitisetmalu [...]ic[...]em / docigeniusuteane[...]
[...tib]i q[u]er[or] / [...]exxigi / [si servu]s si liber hoc tulerit / [non il]li Bath Theft permittas in angu[i]ne / [...]sui[...]
qu[i involavi]t cab[al] / lar[e]m si [vir si $f] \operatorname{emin}[a] /$ si ser $[v] u s[$ si libe $] r /[\ldots]$ dea sul[is ...]

CMB 52

CMB 53

CMB 54

CMB 55

CMB 56

CMB 57

CMB 58

CMB 59

| Obverse: $d[e o]$ Mercurio / [...C]ivilis [...] fuerit de / [...] Trinni familiam [...] / Velvalis [...] / am suam <br> Reverse: <br> Markelinum familia $[m]$ / Velorigam et famili[am] / [s]uam Morivassum et [f]amiliam Riovassum e[t] / familiam Minoven [...] / et familiam sua [m] | Bath | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```[...] / recentis [...]imi[...] / capitularem civi[lis ...] / em supplic [...] / si ser[vus si liber ...] / somnus [...]``` | Bath | Unknown |
| deae $[\ldots]$ Exsib $[u u s] /$ dona $[v i t] i[l] l o s$ qui [...] ban / [...] sunt [... si servus] / si $l[$ iber si bar $]$ o si $m[u][[i] \mathrm{e}[r] /$ sa[...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| Enica conqueror ti[bi] / [...] tanulis [...]dehi[...] | Bath | Other, Justice |
| Oconea deae Suli / M[inervae] dono ti[bi] pa / nnum si quis eum [...] | Bath | Theft |
| deae Suli / si quis balniarem Cantissen $[a] e \quad$ inc $[o] l a[v]$ erit / si $s[e] r[v]$ u si liber [...] | Bath | Theft |
| [...] quiescit [...]lit sanitatem Invictus / nisi eidem loco ipsum pallium / [re]ducat | Bath | Theft |
| Exsuperius / donat pannum ferri / qui illi innoc[entiam ...]nfam / tusc[...]su / lis si $\operatorname{vir}[$ si femin $]$ a $[[i] \operatorname{ser}[v] u s /$ si liber ho $[c]$ / ill[...] / et [...] er [...] / suas inv[o]la[veru]n[t] s[i] vir / si femina $s[$ ati $]$ sfecerit / sanguin $[e]$ ill $[o]$ rum hoc / devindices $[s i] q[u] i s$ aenum mi / hi involav[i]t | Bath | Theft |


| CMB 60 | $\begin{aligned} & {[\ldots] i a[\ldots] /[\ldots] \text { dea Suli }[s \ldots] /[\ldots] \text { nem }} \\ & d[\ldots] \end{aligned}$ | Bath | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMB 61 | Minerv $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & \ldots\end{array}\right] / \operatorname{amcocus}[\ldots]$ / lumpell $[\ldots]$ | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 62 | [...] qui suib [...] / [s]i se[rv]us s[i ...] <br> [...] si vir si [f]em[ina ...]discebit [...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| CMB 63 | [... B]ilitus Linu[s ...] / [...] Bitiluus $\operatorname{Lin}[u s . .$. | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 64 | Obverse: <br> si puer si puella / si vir si femina qui $h[o c]$ / invol[a]vit non p[er]mit / tatu[...] nis[i] inn[o]cen / tiam ulla[m ...] <br> Reverse: <br> non illi dimitta[s] / nec somnum nisi ut TATIGIA m[o]dium ne / bulae modium veni / [at] fumi | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 65 | si uapesurusmiimiiii / ille si ceriasius si/ igeunsnser per / maneat | Bath | Unknown |
| CMB 66 | nomen fur / ti si se[rv]us / si l[ibe]r / si puer si pue / lla[...] | Bath | Theft |
| CMB 67 | [...] modsusio [...] iuiuci / deus faci $[a] t$ ani $[m] a m / p e[r] d[e]$ re sui | Bath | Vengeance |
| CMB 68 | Obverse: <br> [...] doscim [...] / verit si ser / [vus si liber] <br> Reverse: <br> [...] / [...] $m$ fecerit [...] / [...] intelleg[...] | Bath | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| CMB 69 | [...]m qui mi[hi ...] | Bath | Unknown |

CMB 70

CMB 71

CMB 72

CMB 73

CMB 74

CMB 75

CMB 76

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Docime[edis ...] / de[ae] su[li ...] } \\ & {[\ldots] \ln [\ldots]} \end{aligned}$ | Bath | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [charakteres] <br> ${ }_{\imath} \alpha \omega$ / $\alpha \beta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \chi / \pi \alpha v \varepsilon \eta \alpha \beta \alpha v / v \alpha \theta \alpha v l b a$ / $\delta \alpha \theta \varepsilon / \sigma \alpha \lambda v \theta \varepsilon \mu / \varepsilon \tau$ vıк $\theta \omega \rho \iota \alpha \mu$ tib[erium] clau[diu]m similem quem pe perit heren[n]ia marcellia | Billingford | Other, <br> Health and Victory |
| [...] / caricula quae [si s]er / [v]u[s i] liber si ba[ro] s[i muli]er / qui [... d]omin / a [...] facias / sic [i]llas [re]dim[a]t sa[n]guin[e s]uo lier / [...] si $\operatorname{bar}[o] m u /[\ldots]$ | Brean Down | Theft |
| SERADVASORISDVAS / s[i] ser[v]us si anc[i]l[l]a si libertus si / liberta si $m[u] l i e[r] /$ si baro popia $[m]$ fer $[r e] a[m]$ / EAENEC furtum fece /r[it] dominio Neptuno cor[u]lo pare[n]ta[tu]r | Brandon | Theft |
| Dom[i]na Ne / mesis do ti / bi palleum et galliculas / qui tulit non / redimat no / uita Sanguiene / sui | Caerleon | Other, <br> Theft, <br> Sabotage |
| A Nase [...] / eve[h]it Vroc [...] / sius fascia $[m]$ et armi $[l l a] / s$ cap $[t]$ olare spectr $[u m]$ / cufia $[m]$ duas ocrias $x$ vas / a stagnea si mascel si me / mina / si puer / si pu[e]lla duas / ocri[as] si vull[u]eris factae sang[uine] / suo ut [i]llu[m] requeratat Neptu $[n u] s / e[t]$ amictus $e[t]$ cufina [et] arm[i]lla[e] / senarri sv cap $[t]$ olare tunc sanguine[e] / fascia tenet fur e / carta $s[$ upra] $s$ [scripta] ratio[n]e | Caistor St. <br> Edmund | Theft |
| [... D]iogen $[i]$ s dalmatuc $[u m] /$ seithaus [...] / dalmaticum | Chesterton | Unknown |

CMB 77

CMB 78

CMB 79

CMB 80

CMB 81

| uetus / quodmodo sanies / signeficatur / <br> Tacita deficta | Clothall | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\ldots]$ mпеиi $\quad[\ldots] c l \quad[\ldots] n i \quad c[u] m$ <br> [...]pluminono[...] telo[...] at/[...] su[a <br> ...]s[...]silomo[...] / cui[...]rliomi[...]q <br> / opoulnsllm [...] na / pocciapuoiico [...] <br> tcs / marinan [...]rt / masus[...]msaso / si $s[\ldots] j$ snsus / [...]ns | Dodford, <br> Northampton | Theft |


| Obverse: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| donatio diebus quo / perit Butu resque $q u[a] e$ [...] nec ante da / netate nec salute / nesi qua $[m]$ in dopm $[\mathrm{o} \mathrm{die}] /[\ldots]$ sanetate in do / [mo de] | Eccles Villa | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| Reverse: $s[\ldots] s[u p r a] s[$ cripti $]$ |  |  |

Reverse:
[denariis] III milibus [... Aur]elius $\operatorname{Se}[\ldots] /[\ldots] u s[\ldots]$
domine Neptune / $t[i] b[i] \quad d[o] n o$
[h]ominem qui / [solidum] invola $[v]$ it mu / coni et argenti[olo]s / seks ide[o] dono nomi[n]a / qui decepit si mascelt si / femina si puuer si puue / lla ideo dono tibi niske / et neptuno vitam vali / tudinem sanguem eius / qui conscius fueris eius / deceptionis animus / qui hoc involavit et / qui conscius fuerit ut / eum hoc involavit sanguem / eiius consumas et de / cipias domin $[e]$ ne $[p]$ / tune

CMB 83

CMB 84

CMB 85

CMB 86

CMB 87

CMB 88

CMB 89

| Quicumque res Careni in / volaverit si mulrer si mascel / sangu[i]no suo solvat erit / et pecunie quem exesu / Mercurio dona et Virtuti s[acra] | Kelvedon | Theft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daeo Maglo [do] euum qui frudum / fecit de padoio [do] elameum qui / furtum [fecit] de padaoium saum / qui sa[q]um Sevandi involva / vit / S[il]vester Ri[g]omandus / S[e]nilis Venustinus / <br> Vorena / Calaminus / Felicianus <br> Rufaedo / Vendicina / Ingenuinus <br> Iuventius / Alocus / Cennosus <br> Germanus / Senedo / Cunovendus / <br> Regalis / Ni[g]ella / S[enic]ianus / [do] <br> antae nonum diem / illum tollat / qui <br> sa[g]um involauit / Servandi | Leicester | Theft |
| $q u[$ ia]rgentios Sabiniani fura / verunt id est Similis Cupitus Lochita / hos deus siderabit in hoc septiso / nio et peto ut vitam suam per / dant ante dies septem | Leicester | Theft |
| Carinus / Similis / Consortius / Comes <br> Masloriu[s] / Senorix Cunittus / Cunittus <br> Cunedecan / ES / Ceanatis Tiberin[us] | Leintwardine | Unknown |
| Enestinus / Motius / Comintinus | Leintwardine | Unknown |
| Tretia $[m]$ Maria $[m]$ defico et / illeus uita $[m]$ et me $[n]$ tem / et memoriam $[e] t$ iocine / ra pulmones interm xixi / ta fata cogitata meor / iam sci no[no] possitt loqui / [quae] sicreta si[n]t neque SINTA / MERE posit neque [...]/[...] CL V DO | London | Unknown |
| Obverse: | London | Unknown |

CMB 90

CMB 91

CMB 92

CMB 93

```
Titus] Egnatius / Tyran[n]us defic[t]us /
est et / P[ublius] Cicereius Felix /
defictus e[st]
```

Reverse:
Titus] Egnatius / Tyran[nus] defixtus /
est et / P[ublius] Cicereius Felix

| a[u]rel[ius] satir / ninus domitia atti /ola <br> et si qui afuere | London | Unknown |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

[d]eae dea[na]e dono / capitularem et
fas / [c]iam minus parte / tertia si quis
hoc feci $[t]$ / [s]i p[u]er si $[p]$ uella $s[i]$ / London Theft
[s]er[vus] s[i liber] / don[o eum] nec
$p[\mathrm{er}] /$ me $[v i] v[\mathrm{ere}]$ possit
Obverse:
tibi rogo Metu / nus $u[t] m[e]$ vendic / as
de iste $n u$ / mene me ven / dicas ante
$q[u] o$ / d ven $[$ iant $]$ die[s] no / vvem rogo
te / Metunus ut $[t]$ u / mi vend $[i]$ cas / ante
$q[u] o[d] / \operatorname{ven}[$ iant $] d i[e s] n[o] v e / m$
Reverse:
xuparanti / silvielesatavile / xsuperatus
Silvico / le Avitus Melus / so datus /
perucitibi / Santinus / Magetus / apidimis
Antoni / Santus Varia / nus Varasius
datus
Devo / Nodenti Siluianus / anilum
perdedit / demediam partme / donauit
Nodenti / inter quibus nomen / Seniciani
nollis / petmittas anita / tem donec
perfera / usque templum / [No]dentis /
Rediuiua

CMB 94

CMB 96

CMB 98

CMB 99

| do a / deo Marti A [...] VNISEA id [est <br> ...] / eculium eum et secur [...] / tidissee <br> [...] illum iume [...]/rogat genium tuum domine / ut quampri[imu]m res[ideant] nec eant per annos novem $n$ [on eis] permittas nec sedere [nec...] / [...] MOMBRIC [...] | Marlborough <br> Downs | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Dio M[ercurio] dono ti[bi]/negotium Et / [t]ern[a]e et ipsam / nec sit i[n]vidi $[a]$ me[i] / Timotneo san / gui[n]e suo <br> Reverse: <br> Dono tibi / Mercurius / aliam neg[o] tium NAVIN / [...] / NII [...] / MIN [...] SANG / SVO | Old Harlow | Other, Love affair, Business transaction |
| [...]mitr[...]pio[...] / in is iii milibus cuius [de]mediam / partem tibi ut ita illum [e]xigas a Vassicil / lo [...] pecomini filio et uxore sua quoniam [...]rtussu quod illi de hopitiolo m[eo] <br> [...]ulaverint nec illis [p]ermittas sanit $[a] /[t e m]$ nec biberre nec $\operatorname{man}[n] d[u]$ care nec dormi $[r e] /[n e c$ nat]os sanos habe[a]nt nessi hanc rem / [meam] ad fanum tuum [at]tulerint iteratis / [pre]c[i]bus te rogo ut [ab ip]sis niminibus / [inimicorum] meorum hoc [pertu]ssum recipe / [...] perven $[$ ia]t | Pagan's Hill | Theft |
| [...]gno[...] quem / [...]tuadrodit [...] <br> $[\ldots] t[\ldots] /[\ldots] q[\ldots] /$ octies novem e / sit omni gen / borum fatigatu / e exorit [...]s[...] | Pagan's Hill | Unknown |

CMB 100

CMB 101

CMB 102

CMB 103

CMB 104

| ```[...]cond[..]tin[..]] / [...]umqu[e] quomin[us ...]/[...] fra[d]e sua ul[la ...] /[...]us donav[...]/[...] eus [...]``` | Pagan's Hill | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse, there is a symbol engraved | Puckeridge- <br> Braughing | Unknown |
| Obverse: <br> donatur deo Ioui / optimo maximo ut / exigat per mentem per / memoriam per intus / per intestinum per cor / [p]er medullas per uenas / per [...] as / [...] si mascel si / femina quisquis <br> Reverse: <br> inuolauit rios Cani / Digni ut in corpore / suo in breui temp[or]e / pariat denature / deo ssto decima pars / eius pecuniae quam / [so]luerit | Ratcliff-on- <br> Soar | Theft |
| nomine Camulorigi $[s]$ et Titocun $[a] e$ molam quam perdederunt / in fanum dei devovi cuicumque $n[o] m[e] n$ invalasit / mola $[m]$ illam ut sa[n]guin[em] suum mittat usque diem quo / moriatur $q[$ ui $]$ cumque invo $[l] a[s i t] \quad[f] u r t a$ moriatur / et PAVLAVTORIAM quicumque illam involasit / et ipse moriato mo[ri]atur quicumqu[e] illam involasit er VERTIGN de [h]ospitio vel vissacio [...] quicumque illam involasit a devo mori $[$ a]tur | Ratcliff-on- <br> Soar | Theft |
| annoto de duas / ocrias ascia $[m]$ scal / pru $[m]$ ma $n] i c a[m]$ si m[ulier $]$ au[t] si/ b[are] RIANTINE duas / partis deo AC CEVM | Ratcliff-on- <br> Soar | Theft |


| Nimincillus [Quintinus $]$ $/$ lu $[n]$ ctinus <br> $D[o]$ cillinae $/$, lon $[g]$ intus  <br> VSCANIMIHMS / [...] NIS $[\ldots]$ IC $[\ldots]$   <br> eu $[m] /$ qui invalaveri / t deus det ma /   <br> la $[m]$ plagam   | Silchester | Theft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> Commonitorium deo / Mercurio a Satur / nina muliere de lintia / mine quod amisit ut il / le qui hoc circumvenit non / ante laxetur nisi quando / res ssdictas as fanum ssdic / tum attulerit si vir si mu / lier si servus s[i] liber <br> Reverse: <br> Deo ssdicto tertiam / partem donat ita ut / ex sigat istas re quae / ssta [e] sunt / Ac a quae perit deo Silvano / tertia par donatur ita ut / hoc exsigat si vir si femina si ser / us si liber [...] E[...] TAT | Uley | Theft |
| Obverse: <br> Deo Mercurio / Cenacus queritus / de Vitalino et Nat / lino filio ipsius d[e] iumento quod erap / tum est. Erogat deum Mercurium / ut nec ante sa nitatem <br> Reverse: <br> habeant nini / nisi repraese[n] / taverint mihi iu / mentum quod $r[a] /$ puerent et de devotionem qua[m] / ipse ab his ex / postulaverit | Uley | Theft |
| Biccus dat M / ercuri quidquid $p e[r] d[d i]$ it si vir si $m /$ ascel ne meiat | Uley | Theft |

CMB 110

CMB 111

CMB 112

CMB 113

CMB 114

CMB 115

## CMB 116

| ne cavet ne loqu / tur ne dormiat / $n[e]$ vigilet nec $s[a] /[l]$ uetm nec sa/nitatem ne / ss[i] in templo / Mercuri per / tulerit ne co $[n]$ / scientiam de / perferat ness $[i]$ / me intercen / te |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deo Mercurio / Docilinus QV AENM Varianus et Peregina / et Sabinianus qu[i] perco / ri meo dolum malum in tulerunt et INT[...]RR pro / locuntur rogo te ut eos / max[i]mo [le]to adigas nec / eis sanit[atem nec] som / num perm[itt]as nisi / a te quod m[ihi] ad[mo] / ni[strav]erint / rede[e]rint | Uley | Other, Harm of an animal |
| nomen fuis / [qu]i frenem involaverit / si l[i]ber si servus si baro / si mulier deo dona / tor duas paetes / AFIMA sua ter / tia ad sanita / tem | Uley | Theft |
| Obverse: <br> [...] / [r]ogo laqu[...] <br> Reverse: <br> [...]as date[...] / summam div[...] | Uley | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| Deo M[a]rti Mercur[io] / anulus areus de hos[pitiolo ... involav] / erit et pedica ferre[a...] /s [...] qui fraudem fec / [it ...] / r[...] deus inveni[a]t | Uley | Theft |
| PETRONIIUS | Uley | Unknown |
| deo Mercurio Mintl / a Rufus donavi eos vel mulier cel PARIVSLIIFASPATEM / [ma]teriam sagi / donavi | Uley | Theft |
| Obverse: | Uley | Other, |

CMB 117

CMB 118

CMB 119

CMB 120

| [deo] sancto Mercuri $[o][q u e] r[o r] /$ tibi de illis qui mihi male / cogitant et male faciunt / supra EDS iumen / si servus si liber si m[ascel] / si [fem]ina ut non illis per / mittas nec sta[r]e nec / sedere nec bibere <br> Reverse: <br> nec manducar[e] n[e]c h[as]/[i]r[a]s redemere possit / nessi danguine suo AENE |  | Unspecified aggression |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aunillus / Vica]riana / Covitius / Mini [filius] dona[t] / Varicillum / Minura / Atavacum | Uley | Unknown |
| Lucilia / Mellossi [fillia] / AEXSIEVMO <br> / Minu[v]assus / Senebel[l] / enae [filius] | Uley | Unknown |
| Obverse: <br> carta qu[a]e merurio dona / tur ut manecilis qui per[i]erunt / ultionem requirat qui illos / invalaviit ut illi sangu[in]em [e]t sanita / tem tolla[t] qui ipsos manicili[o]s tulit / [u]t quantocicius illi pareat quod / deum mercurium $r[o] g a m u s[. .$.$] ura$ <br> Reverse: <br> $q[\ldots]$ os nc $u[\ldots]$ lat | Uley | Theft (suggested, not given) |
| IORID [...] SONAE [...] / [...] LTELL <br> [...] / [...] ESVNT sup[pe]cti sunt inter <br> [...] / [...] ILLVSI [...] EVSRE [...] <br> VMINVENETET [...] / lami[l]la una et anulli quator [...] | Uley | Theft |

CMB 121

CMB 122

CMB 123

CMB 124

CMB 125

| epre $[. .] r.[\ldots]$ epeto $[\ldots] /$ peto iudicio tuo quo[...]d [...]eculans / [...] / tum ne lili permittas bibere nec / [do]rmire nec ambulare neque allam[...] / s gentisue ude ille nascit [...] / eita ulla nec alumen [...] / [...]pre [...]uemente loquantur et $r$ [...] / [...] ugabantur certum sciu[...]t[...] / si / [...]meиегесатеие / [...]meor | Wanborough | Vengeance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obverse: <br> s[i] servus si [l]ib[er qu] / i [f]uravit su[st]uli / $t$ [ne ei] dimitte $[$ male $] f i c[i]$ cum $d[u] m / t u \operatorname{vindi}[c] a[s]$ <br> Reverse: <br> ante dies / nov[em] si pa / [g]a[n]is si mil[e]s [qui] / su[s]tu[l]it | Weeting-with- <br> Broomhill | Theft |
| $m a[t] r[i] x[t] i[b] i /$ dico sede in / tuo loco $V O[\ldots] /[\ldots] S$ dedit tibi ad / iuro te per Ia $\omega$ / et per Sabaw et / per Adwnai ne / atus teneas se / d sede in tuo lo / co nec nocea[s] Cleuomedem / [fi]liam A[...] | West Deeping | Unknown |
| [...] amisi oro tuam m[aie] / statem ut firem istum / si ancil[[]a si [p]uer si [puella] / ext[i]ngus [...]ut illi s[...] cias perduci $[r]$ em ra[ptam] / [...] um et [...] | Unknown | Theft |
| [do]atur deo Merc[urio si] / q[i]lis involaverit c[...]lam / [...] licinnum nec non alia minutalia / Rocitami si baro si mulier si puel[[]] / si puer si ingenuus si servus $n[o] n$ an $[t]$ / eum laset quam mimbra [ra]pu тапи di / em mortis | Unknown | Theft |

```
concutiat e[u]m quui[i] se / curam
[...]nnoris involavit EA[...] / AEAPR
nec non et ququi res / [p]ictor[ia]a[s] /
involaverit
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Wiccan beliefs are based on the Tree-Fold Law, meaning that those energies that are put out into the universe, both positive and negative, will come back three times. This will affect the emotional, spiritual, and physical self. Therefore, Wiccans try to avoid cursing people, and use defixiones to banish negative emotions and energies instead. If defixiones are handled wrongfully it could harm on the practitioner instead, as they are the most volatile in comparison to amulets and talismans. See, for example: Silver Raven Wolf, Solitary Witch. The Ultimate Book of Shadows for the New Generation (2003) 455-459; Harmony Nice, Wicca. A Modern Guide to Witchcraft \& Magick (2018) 26-31.
    ${ }^{2}$ See for example: Brenda Longfellow and Molly Swetnam-Burland (eds.), Women's Lives, Women's Voices. Roman Material Culture and Female Agency in the Bay of Naples (2021); Stephen P. Ahearne-Kroll, Paul A. Holloway, and James A. Kelhoffer (eds.), Women and Gender in Ancient Religions (2010); Celia E. Schultz, Women's Religious Activity in the Roman Republic (2006); Richard Hawley and Barbara Levick (eds.), Women in Antiquity. New Assessments (1995).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Pauline Ripat, ‘Cheating Women: Curse Tablets and Roman Wives" in: Kimberly B. Stratton and Dayna S. Kalleres (eds.), Daughters of Hecate. Women \& Magic in the Ancient World (2014) 340-364, 340.
    ${ }^{4}$ Irene Salvo, 'Owners of their own bodies. Women's magical knowledge and reproduction in Greek inscriptions' in: Matthew Dillon, Esther Eidinow and Lisa Maurizio (eds.), Women's Ritual Competence in the Greco-Roman Mediterranean (2017) 131-148, 143-144.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibidem, 144.
    ${ }^{6}$ See: Emily A. Hemelrijk, Women and Society in the Roman World. A Sourcebook of Inscriptions from the Roman West (2021); Emily A. Hemelrijk, Verborgen Levens, Publieke Figuren. Romeinse Vrouwen buiten Rome (2021); Emily A. Hemelrijk, Hidden Lives, Public Personae: Women and Civic Life in the Roman West (2015); Emily A. Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf (eds.), Women and the Roman City in the Latin West (2013).

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ Hemelrijk, Women and Society in the Roman World 1-14; Hemelrijk, Hidden Lives, Public Personae: Women and Civic Life in the Roman West 7-36.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ See: Pliny the Elder, Natural History 25.59, 29.20, 30.1-18, 37.75. See, for more ancient sources discussing magical practices: Greg Woolf, 'Curse Tablets the History of a Technology' (2022) 124-125; Daniel Ogden, Magic. Witchcraft, and the Ghosts in the Greek and Roman Worlds. A Sourcebook (2002); George Luck, Arcana Mundi. Magic and the Occult in the Greek and Roman Worlds. A Collection of Ancient Texts (1985).
    ${ }^{9}$ For example: Apuleius, Metamorphoses 1.10-15, 3.17.
    ${ }^{10}$ Edward Burnett Tylor, Primitive Cultures (1871); James George Frazer, The Golden Bough (1890). See also: Frits Graf, Magic in the Ancient World (1997) 3-19.
    ${ }^{11}$ See: Kimberly B. Stratton, Naming the Witch. Magic, Ideology, and Stereotype in the Ancient World (2007) 412; Mary Beard, John North en Simon Price, Religions of Rome 1 (2000) 219; Naomi Janowitz, Magic in the Roman World. Pagans Jews and Christians (2001); Graf, Magic in the Ancient World 3-19; John G. Gager, Curse Tablets and Binding Spells from the Ancient World (1992) 22-23.
    ${ }^{12}$ Meritxell Ferrer Martin and Kathryn Lafrenz Samuels, ‘Women’s Ritual Practice in the Western Phoenician and Punic World’ in: Stephanie Lynn Budin and Jean Macintosh Turfa (eds.), Women in Antiquity. Real Women across the Ancient World (2016) 533-551.
    ${ }^{13}$ Emily A. Hemelrijk, Verborgen levens, publieke figuren. Romeinse vrouwen buiten Rome (2021) 120.

[^4]:    ${ }^{14}$ For example: Apuleius, Apologia 9.1-5, 25.9-11, 26.6-7.
    ${ }^{15}$ Similar patterns in scholarly biases of nineteenth-century fundamental research, when it concerns the history of women, have been identified in studies on topics such as migration, pilgrimage, and ancient legions. See especially: Elena Isayev, Migration, Mobility and Place in Ancient Italy (2017); Jaś Elsner and Ian Rutherford (eds.), Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman \& Early Christian Antiquity (2005); Simon James, 'Writing the Legions. The Development and Future of Roman Military Studies in Britain' in: Archaeological Journal 159 (2002) 1-58.
    ${ }^{16}$ See: Catherine Bell, Ritual. Perspectives and Dimensions (1997).

[^5]:    ${ }^{17}$ In 2019 the volume Perspectives on Lived Religion. Practices - Transmission - Landscape was published studying 'lived religion' in Egypt. See: Nico Staring, Huw Twiston Davies, and Lara Weiss (eds.), Perspectives on Lived Religion. Practices - Transmission - Landscape (2019). For another study on the individual in religious practices in antiquity, see: Jörg Rüpke, The Individual in the Religions of the Ancient Mediterranean (2013).
    ${ }^{18}$ Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli, 'Pursuing lived ancient religion' in: Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli (eds.), Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World. Approaching Religious Transformations from Archaeology, History and Classics (2020) 1-8, 1.
    ${ }^{19}$ Heinz Streib, Lived Religion: Conceptual, Empirical and Practical-Theological Approaches. Essays in Honor of Hans-Günter Heimbrock (2008) x.
    ${ }^{20}$ Gasparini, et al., 'Pursuing lived ancient religion' 1.
    ${ }^{21}$ Ibidem, 2-3.
    ${ }^{22}$ Irene Salvo, 'Experiencing curses: neurobehavioral traits of ritual and spatiality in the Roman Empire' in: Valentino Gasparini, Maik Patzelt, Rubina Raja, Anna-Katharina Rieger, Jörg Rüpke and Emiliano Rubens Urciuoli (eds.), Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World. Approaching Religious Transformations from Archaeology, History and Classics (2020) 157-180, 158.

[^6]:    ${ }^{23}$ Ibidem, 167-176.
    ${ }^{24}$ The concept of 'lived ancient religion' was coined around the 2010s by Jörg Rüpke, one of the scholars who worked on and contributed to Lived Religion in the Ancient Mediterranean World (2020). See: Jorg Rüpke, "Lived Ancient Religion: Questioning ‘Cults’ and 'Polis Religion'" in: Mythos (2011) 191-204.
    ${ }^{25}$ Gasparini, et al., 'Pursuing lived ancient religion' 3.
    ${ }^{26}$ Ibidem, 2.
    ${ }^{27}$ Ibidem.
    ${ }^{28}$ When referring to the defixiones from Audollent his Defixionum Tabellae the abbreviation DT is used, followed by the corresponding number of the defixio.

[^7]:    ${ }^{29}$ When referring to the defixiones from Bradley her Romano-British Curse Tablets the abbreviation CMB is used, followed by the corresponding number of the defixio.
    ${ }^{30}$ The translations for Roman North Africa are based on Daniela Urbanová, Latin Curse Tablets of the Roman Empire (2018), with my own adaptations. For Roman Britain, the translations are based on Colleen M. Bradley, Romano-British Curse Tablets (2011), with my own adaptations.
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